



# Who Hijacked the Texas 2026 Democrat and Republican Primary Elections?

CONTACT: [Media@Unite4Freedom.com](mailto:Media@Unite4Freedom.com)

DATE: *March 16, 2026*

The enemy is INSIDE the gates of our election system, manipulating all of us. The Texas 2026 primary elections show clear evidence of intolerable manipulation for BOTH the Democrat and Republican primaries. The data does not lie.

Undeniable patterns of vote and voter injections and deletions were first identified in our Texas 2022 Election analysis. We reported that for the first time after the [2024 General Election](#). That pattern just repeated during the early voting period of the 2026 primaries.

**In the Democrat election, Unite4Freedom's expert analysis identified 37,039 voter IDs with "oscillations."** That means a voter ID appeared, disappeared, and reappeared, sometimes multiple times during the early voting period. **In the Republican election, we identified 87,336 voter IDs with "oscillations."**

## Attempts to Obfuscate the Data

Unite4Freedom got attention with the 2024 Texas Vote Tampering Report. Someone was waiting for us this time, and attempted to obfuscate the data.

The format of data files available on the Secretary of State's website changed multiple times over the early voting period. Access to the data was also intermittent. [Our data team](#) is the best in the country working on elections, and we were not fooled or dissuaded.

## Texas Has Completely Lost Control of Their Elections

The Republican Party of Texas and Texas Democratic Party are each responsible for their own primary elections. That means they are also responsible for ensuring a fair, transparent and lawful process that honors the will of the voters. Unfortunately, the enemy is inside the gates, and it is a bipartisan problem. If you believe Texas political parties should nominate the candidates the voters voted for, you need to step up and demand answers. The data does not lie. Given these uncontrolled and undocumented changes, the primary results should not be validated or certified.

---

## 2026 TEXAS PRIMARY VOTE TAMPERING ANALYSIS

This analysis examined the early voting period of the 2026 Texas primary elections—Democrat and Republican. Snapshots were downloaded at regular intervals every day from the Texas Secretary of State's website, starting at the beginning of early voting. Our analysis includes multiple snapshots per day for votes cast up to and including February 27, 2026, the final day of early voting, and includes snapshots of early voting data downloaded up to and including election day, March 3, 2026.

The state's published files are expected to reflect the official list of all voters who have cast ballots during this period. Each snapshot is a complete picture of the state's official record of who voted, when they voted, and where they voted.




The purpose of this analysis is to identify and quantify non-standard patterns of record changes that are inconsistent with the law or legitimate data maintenance and expected ballot processing behavior. Comparisons of those snapshots over time reveal impossible changes in the data, unless an actor is inside the system and manipulating the election in real time.

For example (in the chart shown below), by comparing the number of ballots cast on February 17 in each successive snapshot downloaded during the early voting period, we see that number CHANGED rather significantly seven times over the early voting time period in both the Democrat and Republican primaries. That should not happen.

The problem got worse when we inspected changing information assigned to specific voter IDs. The data shows:

- **Injections**—additional ballots being added to a Voter ID that had already cast a ballot.
- **Deletions**—Voter IDs with a previously cast ballot completely missing at the end of early voting.

Why would someone want to “stack” additional ballots on a Voter who has already cast a ballot? Why are ballots being deleted? Are these changes used to steer the outcome for both parties? Who benefits when each political party thinks the other cheats? Would certification of this election deny Texas voters REAL CHOICES on the General Election ballot this fall?





### Democrat 2026 Primary in Texas

The final dataset in this analysis contained 1,405,576 records. The voting records match all of the following record details including: Voter ID, Voter Name, and Voting Method. The analysis does not include County, and Precinct details because they were not consistently available in the data downloaded from the Secretary of State’s website. The following suspected manipulation occurred:

- ▶ **37,039 Voter OSCILLATIONS**—Voter ID appears, disappears, and reappears, sometimes multiple times in the data. 26,481 of these Voter IDs have a ballot at the end of Early Voting.
- ▶ **35,169 INJECTED Ballots**—Additional ballots injected for voters who had already cast a ballot.
- ▶ **80,903 Voter History CHANGES**—Total number of changes (additions or deletions) to the number of ballots assigned to all voters over time.
- ▶ **10,558 Voter History DELETIONS**—Voter IDs with previously cast ballot (vote record) that are completely missing from the last snapshot of data at the end of early voting.
- ▶ **9 Voter IDs who first appear in the records with MULTIPLE ballots**
- ▶ **54 Voter IDs with MULTIPLE ballots in the last snapshot at the end of early voting**

### Real Consequences

A high-profile US Senate race between James Talarico and Jasmine Crocket generated excitement and high turnout among Texas Democrats. Approximately 144,000 votes, or less than 7% of the total votes tallied, separated the candidates. How do Texas Democrat voters really know who won? They do not, and unless they were on the inside of this malfeasance, election and party officials do not know either.

It’s not just Unite4Freedom who noticed impossible voting patterns. Bernie Reyna, a Democrat running for Texas’s 10th Congressional District finished in third place. He too saw highly suspect vote tally changes and [shared it with his followers on X](#).

Here is a table with the data captured by Mr. Reyna and the final tally from the Austin-American Statesman for each candidate in his race. Notice where the vote tally goes **DOWN** during voting. That’s not supposed to happen.

Source	Percentage of Results In	Candidate ROURK Vote Tally	Candidate MARSHALL Vote Tally	Candidate REYNA Vote Tally
Bernie Reyna X Post on 3/4/2026	62%	21,322	16,805	4,856
Bernie Reyna X Post on 3/4/2026	63%	21,550	17,033	4,991
Bernie Reyna X Post on 3/4/2026	65%	27,483	<b>10,013</b>	7,214
Bernie Reyna X Post on 3/4/2026	68%	<b>22,923</b>	17,969	<b>5,769</b>
Bernie Reyna X Post on 3/4/2026	71%	23,746	18,468	6,226
Bernie Reyna X Post on 3/4/2026	73%	24,583	19,198	6,734
<a href="#">Austin-American Statesman Website</a>	99%	32,611	<b>12,131</b>	8,860

Even worse, Mr. Reyna believes the system is rigged against him. He believes he can’t fight back. When asked what can be done about it, [he calls it a “trust me bro” system](#). It appears you must be part of the bro network to win.



## Republican 2026 Primary in Texas

Lest you think the problem is one-sided, let's examine the Republican data. The final dataset in this analysis contained 1,265,564 records. This analysis follows the same process as the Democrat primary, except with the Republican data snapshots. The following suspected manipulation occurred:

- ▶ **87,336 Voter OSCILLATIONS**—Voter ID appears, disappears, and reappears, sometimes multiple times in the data. ONLY 21,190 of these Voter IDs have a ballot at the end of early voting.
- ▶ **35,772 INJECTED Ballots**—Additional ballots injected for voters who had already cast a ballot.
- ▶ **137,693 Voter History CHANGES**—Total number of changes (additions or deletions) to the number of ballots assigned to all voters over time.
- ▶ **66,146 Voter History DELETIONS**—Voter IDs with previously cast ballot (vote record) that are completely missing from the last snapshot of data at the end of early voting.
- ▶ **1,400 Voter IDs who first appear in the records with MULTIPLE ballots**
- ▶ **1,549 Voter IDs with MULTIPLE ballots in the last snapshot at the end of early voting**

## Real Consequences, Again

A contentious 3-way Senate race between John Cornyn, Ken Paxton and Wesley Hunt highlighted the Republican ballot. Approximately 26,000 votes supposedly separated Cornyn and Paxton, who are scheduled to go to a runoff on May 26.

Is a runoff necessary? Did one of the candidates win outright? When Texans vote in the May runoff, how will they know who won? Will they show up in big enough numbers to outvote the fraud?

### U.S. SENATE REPUBLICAN PRIMARY

CANDIDATE	VOTES	PCT
<b>John Cornyn →</b>	<b>907,604</b>	<b>41.9%</b>
<b>Ken Paxton →</b>	<b>881,386</b>	<b>40.7%</b>
<b>Wesley Hunt</b>	292,728	13.5%
<b>Sara Canady</b>	26,291	1.2%
<b>Anna Bender</b>	24,415	1.1%

## Distractions vs. Evidence

Unite4Freedom's Vote Tampering Reports for Texas are significant evidence that someone has breached our election system and is manipulating our votes in real time, while multiple simultaneous distractions are diverting our national focus:

- While an important improvement, the Save America Act is an incomplete solution to the numerous problems that span the election system from registration through certification.
- The existence of algorithms may give us important investigatory clues. The links to possible algorithms, however, do not provide direct and sufficient evidence of illegal votes being injected. Other evidence is required.
- The story of the Venezuelan whistleblowers appears to contain some truth but also many contradictions.

Parts of these high-profile issues may be meaningful, but the belief that any one of them will resolve the immediate problem is a distraction for intelligent and well-meaning patriots. It creates enough diversion to keep us from completely securing our elections. And, unfortunately, it appears that Director of National Intelligence Tulsi Gabbard, Attorney General Pam Bondi, and President Trump may not getting the complete picture.



## Momentous Questions Demand Answers

Why are ballots being deleted? Why are ballots being injected? Why are ballots added to voters who have already voted? Why do voters have multiple ballots counted at the end of early voting?

Is someone replacing real voters and ballots with fake ones? Are these changes being used to change the outcome of elections in Texas for both parties? Is there a coverup of a crime?

Certification is impossible. Will the parties do it anyway? Would certification constitute defrauding donors? Would certification disenfranchise voters? Would certification deny Texans REAL REPRESENTATION on the General Election ballot this fall?

American citizens are the victim of a colossal and intolerable crime. Who is manipulating us? Perhaps a better question is who would want to control the budget of the United States? Or a State or Local government? TRILLIONS OF DOLLARS ARE AT STAKE EACH YEAR!

We don't know who the enemy is, but they are inside our election systems and tearing apart our society. The fact that Voter ID is an 80/20 issue shows that Americans know there is a problem.

## National Security Emergency

Unite4Freedom's Vote Tampering Reports clearly demonstrate the election system has been breached. The frequency and number of data changes over time, and the patterns of those changes suggest these are NOT simple, normal, or random mistakes or reporting errors. And we have found this in multiple elections. This suggests intentional manipulation.

Election officials have lost control of the entire process, from start to finish. Therefore, we don't know who is really determining the outcome of US elections.

The voters of Texas deserve to be represented by the people they chose. Legitimate representation starts with legally valid elections! Texas doesn't have that today. And based on what we found in this primary and other elections, Americans won't have valid elections come November.

**This is a NATIONAL SECURITY EMERGENCY! It requires immediate formal investigation and review by state and federal law enforcement.**

## A Time for Decisive Action

America does not have time before the 2026 General Election to solve this crisis with legislation. We have demonstrated in Texas, Primary Elections are being manipulated. Elections across the entire country, happening right now, are at risk of similar manipulation.

Action by President Trump, Attorney General Pam Bondi, and Director of National Intelligence Tulsi Gabbard is required immediately to expose AND solve this national security emergency before the 2026 general election.

An Executive Order, one that is fair to ALL parties, is CRITICAL NOW. It must be a multi-tiered process that is supervised by ALL interested parties, with federal oversight.

The problem isn't simply voter registrations. Or voter ID. Or machines. Or chain of custody. Or software. Or internet connections. Or destruction of data. It's ALL OF THESE THINGS AND MORE!



Trustworthy elections require complete end to end integrity of the system, provable at every step, with fully auditable records. We must have legally compliant systems that can prove that the voters who voted are legitimate, prove that all votes that were submitted legally were counted, and prove that the counts were accurate.

Prior to the November 2026 election, voter rolls must be audited and repaired, and states must require hand-counted paper ballots in person due to the lack of meaningful protection of our current systems, already discussed extensively by our [Chairman, Harry Haury](#). The process must connect each voter to their vote all the way to the secure and locked ballot box. And the currently illegal implementation of mail-in ballots must be stopped until adequate safeguards can be implemented.

All available electronic and paper records must be maintained and must be auditable. And access to the complete records must be available to external parties.

### **Accountability, Not Rhetoric**

It is past time for bad actors to be held accountable and for American citizens to have TRUSTWORTHY elections and LEGITIMATE representative government.

Presentation of evidence should not be met with gaslighting. Distrust from the public should not be cavalierly dismissed.

Only transparency, honesty, and lawful elections will restore trust and accountability. All state election and law enforcement officials must make immediate and wholehearted changes to their election system or be held personally responsible for these massive miscarriages of justice.

---

### **Unite For Freedom**

Valid elections are guaranteed in the Constitution and are the foundation of our Republic. Join us to Unite For Freedom ([Unite4Freedom.com](http://Unite4Freedom.com)) and help save our country.

★★★

*Unite4Freedom is a non-partisan, volunteer, civic organization committed to restoring the fundamental right of every American citizen to legitimate representative government, through legal, valid, and accurate elections. Active in more than 30 states, U4F conducts forensic audits, educational initiatives, and policy advocacy to ensure Real Voters, Real Votes, Real Counts, Real Proof, and Real Security. For more information, visit [Unite4Freedom.com](http://Unite4Freedom.com)*

# Real-Time High Resolution Vote Tampering Report



## Texas Early Voting

### 2026 DEMOCRAT PRIMARY ELECTION

#### Illegal Voter Participation History Changes During the Election

This analysis examines early voting data obtained real-time directly from the Texas Secretary of State's public election dataset website. The state's published files are expected to reflect the official list of all voters who cast ballots during the early voting period for the 2026 Democrat primary election.

Snapshots were downloaded at regular intervals every day from the beginning of early voting. This analysis includes multiple snapshots per day; it is for votes cast up to and including February, 27, 2026, the final day of early voting, and includes snapshots taken up to and including election day, March 3, 2026. The final dataset in this analysis contained 1,405,576 records.

The purpose of this analysis is to identify and quantify non-standard patterns of record changes that are inconsistent with legitimate data maintenance or expected ballot processing behavior.

#### Summary of Suspected Manipulation

The counts in the analysis are based on records that match ALL of the following record details including: Voter ID, Voter Name, and Voting Method. Analysis does not include County and Precinct details because they were not consistently available in the data downloaded from the Secretary of State's website.

<b>Voter OSCILLATION</b>	
Voter ID appears, disappears, and reappears, sometimes multiple times in the data. <b>26,481</b> of these Voter IDs have a ballot at the END of Early Voting.	<b>37,039</b>
<b>INJECTED Ballots</b>	
Additional ballots injected for voter IDs who had already cast a ballot.	<b>35,169</b>
<b>Voter History CHANGES</b>	
Total number of incremental changes (additions or deletions) to number of ballots assigned to a voter ID over time.	<b>80,903</b>
<b>Voter History DELETIONS</b>	
Voter IDs with previously cast ballot (vote record) completely missing at the end of early voting in the evening dataset from February 27.	<b>10,558</b>
<b>Voters with MULTIPLE ballots the FIRST TIME THEY APPEAR in Early Voting records</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Voters with MULTIPLE ballots at the END of Early Voting</b>	<b>54</b>

**ASSESSMENT:** These problems happen too often and follow patterns that don't occur by chance or simple mistakes. The way the data changes over time suggests intentional or automated manipulation, not normal reporting errors. Because these issues are repeated and significant, they should be formally investigated and reviewed under state and federal election laws.

# Real-Time High Resolution Vote Tampering Report



## Texas Early Voting

### 2026 REPUBLICAN PRIMARY ELECTION

#### Illegal Voter Participation History Changes During the Election

This analysis examines early voting data obtained real-time directly from the Texas Secretary of State's public election dataset website. The state's published files are expected to reflect the official list of all voters who cast ballots during the early voting period for the 2026 Republican primary election.

Snapshots were downloaded at regular intervals every day from the beginning of early voting. This analysis includes multiple snapshots per day; it is for votes cast up to and including February 27, 2026, the final day of early voting, and includes snapshots taken up to and including election day, March 3, 2026. The final dataset in this analysis contained 1,265,564 records.

The purpose of this analysis is to identify and quantify non-standard patterns of record changes that are inconsistent with legitimate data maintenance or expected ballot processing behavior.

#### Summary of Suspected Manipulation

The counts in the analysis are based on records that match ALL of the following record details including: Voter ID, Voter Name, and Voting Method. Analysis does not include County and Precinct details because they were not consistently available in the data downloaded from the Secretary of State's website.

<b>Voter OSCILLATION</b>	<b>87,336</b>
Voter ID appears, disappears, and reappears, sometimes multiple times in the data. <b>ONLY 21,190 of these Voter IDs have a ballot at the END of Early Voting.</b>	
<b>INJECTED Ballots</b>	<b>35,772</b>
Additional ballots injected for voter IDs who had already cast a ballot.	
<b>Voter History CHANGES</b>	<b>137,693</b>
Total number of incremental changes (additions or deletions) to number of ballots assigned to a voter ID over time.	
<b>Voter History DELETIONS</b>	<b>66,146</b>
Voter IDs with previously cast ballot (vote record) completely missing at the end of early voting in the evening dataset from February 27.	
<b>Voters with MULTIPLE ballots <i>the FIRST TIME THEY APPEAR in Early Voting records</i></b>	<b>1,400</b>
<b>Voters with MULTIPLE ballots <i>at the END of Early Voting</i></b>	<b>1,549</b>

**ASSESSMENT:** These problems happen too often and follow patterns that don't occur by chance or simple mistakes. The way the data changes over time suggests intentional or automated manipulation, not normal reporting errors. Because these issues are repeated and significant, they should be formally investigated and reviewed under state and federal election laws.