

Real-Time High Resolution Vote Tampering Report



Texas Early Voting

2026 DEMOCRAT PRIMARY ELECTION

Voter Participation History Changes During the Election

This analysis examines early voting data obtained real-time directly from the Texas Secretary of State's public election dataset website. The state's published files are expected to reflect the official list of all voters who cast ballots during the early voting period for the 2026 Democrat primary election.

Snapshots were downloaded at regular intervals every day from the beginning of early voting. This analysis includes multiple snapshots per day; it is for votes cast up to and including February, 27, 2026, the final day of early voting, and includes snapshots taken up to and including election day, March 3, 2026. The final dataset in this analysis contained 1,405,576 records.

The purpose of this analysis is to identify and quantify non-standard patterns of record changes that are inconsistent with legitimate data maintenance or expected ballot processing behavior.

Summary of Suspected Manipulation

The counts in the analysis are based on records that match ALL of the following record details including: Voter ID, Voter Name, and Voting Method. Analysis does not include County and Precinct details because they were not consistently available in the data downloaded from the Secretary of State's website.

Voter OSCILLATION	
Voter ID appears, disappears, and reappears, sometimes multiple times in the data. 26,481 of these Voter IDs have a ballot at the END of Early Voting.	37,039
INJECTED Ballots	
Additional ballots injected for voter IDs who had already cast a ballot.	35,169
Voter History CHANGES	
Total number of incremental changes (additions or deletions) to number of ballots assigned to a voter ID over time.	80,903
Voter History DELETIONS	
Voter IDs with previously cast ballot (vote record) completely missing at the end of early voting in the evening dataset from February 27.	10,558
Voters with MULTIPLE ballots the FIRST TIME THEY APPEAR in Early Voting records	9
Voters with MULTIPLE ballots at the END of Early Voting	54

ASSESSMENT: These problems happen too often and follow patterns that don't occur by chance or simple mistakes. The way the data changes over time suggests intentional or automated manipulation, not normal reporting errors. Because these issues are repeated and significant, they should be formally investigated and reviewed under state and federal election laws.