

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS AND DEMAND FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION
PURSUANT TO 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)

**VIA CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
AND ELECTRONIC MAIL**

September 2, 2026

The Honorable Shirley N. Weber, Ph.D.
Secretary of State
California Secretary of State
Elections Division - NVRA Coordinator
1500 11th Street, 5th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

CC:

The Honorable Rob Bonta
Attorney General of California
Office of the Attorney General, California Department of Justice
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550

Eric V. Neff, Acting Chief
Voting Section, Civil Rights Division
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
4CON, 8th Floor
Washington, DC 20530
voting.section@usdoj.gov

I. INTRODUCTION

This Notice is provided pursuant to Section 11(b) of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 ("NVRA"), 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b).

Unite 4 Freedom, Inc. ("U4F"), together with the identified aggrieved person(s), provides this Notice of Violations and Demand for Corrective Action concerning the State's continuing implementation of voter-registration list-maintenance programs, maintenance, and preservation of records concerning those programs, and public inspection obligations imposed by the National Voter Registration Act.

The attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards, Vote Tampering Reports, and supporting machine-readable data identify documented record-level conditions requiring investigation, explanation, correction, preservation, or disclosure under the NVRA. These materials are presented to identify suspected statutory violations requiring

review and corrective action. They are not presented as proof that every individual record independently establishes an NVRA violation.

This Notice identifies the statutory duties at issue, the categories of alleged violations, the supporting factual bases, individual records that appear to be in violation of legal requirements, and the corrective actions requested. The summary report is provided as a guide to the violations, but each record must be resolved and explained. It is intended to provide the notice and opportunity to cure required by Congress before a civil action may be commenced under 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b).

II. NATURE OF THE NOTICE

Congress enacted the National Voter Registration Act to establish uniform national standards governing voter-registration administration, voter-list maintenance, and public inspection of records concerning those programs and activities.

Section 20510(b) authorizes an aggrieved person to provide written notice of violations to the chief State election official and affords the State an opportunity to investigate, correct, explain, or otherwise cure those violations before civil litigation is commenced.

This Notice concerns three categories of continuing statutory duties imposed by the NVRA:

1. The duty to conduct a reasonable and uniform voter-list maintenance program consistent with federal law;
2. The duty to maintain, preserve, and make available for public inspection those records Congress expressly identified in 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i); and
3. The duty to document, preserve, and explain the implementation of those list-maintenance programs sufficiently to permit meaningful public review and statutory compliance.

For administrative efficiency, the identified record-level conditions are summarized in this Notice and presented in detail within the attached appendices. Each documented record is documentation of a suspected violation and must be reviewed individually. These individual records also identify a suspected pattern of violation and form part of the factual basis for this Notice of Suspected Statutory Violations.

III. FACTUAL BASIS OF THIS NOTICE

U4F has analyzed official voter-registration, voter-history, and related election records obtained from the State and its election officials. Using those official records, U4F generated State-specific Election Validity Scorecards, Vote Tampering Reports, and supporting machine-readable datasets identifying documented conditions requiring further investigation, explanation, correction, preservation, or disclosure.

The attached analyses identify recurring categories of record-level conditions that include, where applicable:

- apparent changes to voter-history records following election certification and across successive official voter-file snapshots;
- apparent irregularities in voter-registration records;
- duplicate or conflicting registration records;
- unexplained registration-date anomalies;
- record changes requiring supporting implementation documentation;
- apparent inconsistencies in voter-list maintenance activities; and
- other documented conditions relevant to the statutory duties identified in this Notice.

To the extent any documented condition arises from voter-registration or voter-list maintenance activities involving Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act ("UOCAVA") registrants, this Notice likewise requests the implementation records, explanatory documentation, audit records, and preservation records sufficient to determine whether those activities were administered consistently with the National Voter Registration Act and other applicable federal law. This Notice does not assert an independent UOCAVA claim but requests records concerning any list-maintenance activities affecting UOCAVA registrants to the extent those activities are relevant to the statutory duties identified herein.

The analyses identify documented record-level conditions requiring investigation under the National Voter Registration Act.

Whether any individual condition ultimately constitutes a statutory violation depends upon the implementation records and explanations required by federal law and requested in this Notice.

The attached appendices identify the documented record-level conditions and the corresponding categories of implementation records requested for each alleged statutory violation.

IV. ALLEGED STATUTORY VIOLATIONS

The record-level conditions identified in the attached appendices fall into three principal categories of continuing statutory violations under the National Voter Registration Act.

Each category corresponds to a separate statutory duty imposed by Congress. Although individual records may implicate more than one statutory provision, the categories below are presented separately to facilitate investigation, response, and corrective action.

The factual allegations supporting each category are contained in the attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards, Vote Tampering Reports, and supporting machine-readable datasets incorporated by reference into this Notice.

A. Failure to Conduct a Reasonable and Uniform List-Maintenance Program

52 U.S.C. § 20507(a)(4)

The attached analyses identify documented conditions requiring investigation concerning the State's continuing implementation of voter-list maintenance programs.

These conditions include, where applicable:

- duplicate or conflicting voter-registration records;
- unexplained changes in voter-registration status;
- registrations containing internally inconsistent or illogical data;
- apparent irregular registration changes;
- registration conditions requiring documented explanation under established list-maintenance procedures; and
- other record-level conditions identified within Appendix A.

This Notice does not presume that every identified condition independently establishes a violation of federal law. Rather, the documented conditions require the State to determine whether its list-maintenance program has been implemented in a reasonable, uniform, and nondiscriminatory manner consistent with the requirements imposed by Congress.

The corresponding record-level instances are identified within the attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards and supporting datasets.

B. Failure to Maintain and Make Available Records Concerning List-Maintenance Programs

52 U.S.C. § 20507(i)

The attached analyses further identify documented conditions requiring production, preservation, or explanation of records concerning the implementation of the State's voter-list maintenance programs.

Among other matters, the appendices identify documented record-level conditions for which implementation records, implementation documentation, or explanatory materials are necessary to determine:

- the basis for recorded changes;
- the authority under which those changes occurred;
- the implementation of voter-list maintenance procedures;
- the preservation of required records; and
- the completeness of the State's public-inspection obligations under the National Voter Registration Act.

To the extent those implementation records exist, this Notice requests their production or inspection as part of the corrective actions described above.

To the extent such records do not exist, this Notice requests written confirmation identifying the specific records unavailable and the reasons for their absence.

C. Failure to Preserve and Document Record-Level Changes Relevant to List-Maintenance Activities

The attached U4F analyses identify numerous documented changes to voter-history and voter-registration records occurring across successive official statewide voter-file snapshots following certification.

These documented changes do not, standing alone, establish that any particular modification violated federal law. They do, however, identify record-level conditions requiring investigation, explanation, and implementation documentation sufficient to determine whether the State has maintained and preserved records concerning the implementation of its voter-list maintenance programs as required by the National Voter Registration Act.

The corresponding record-level instances are identified throughout the attached Vote Tampering Reports and supporting machine-readable datasets.

V. REQUESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION

Pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b), U4F and the identified aggrieved person(s) respectfully request that the State **investigate, document, explain, correct, preserve, or otherwise resolve the statutory matters identified in this Notice** and appendices.

Specifically, U4F requests that the State undertake the following corrective actions.

A. Investigation of Identified Record-Level Conditions

Investigate each category of documented record-level conditions identified in the attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards, Vote Tampering Reports, and supporting machine-readable datasets.

The investigation should determine, at a minimum:

- whether the identified condition reflects a lawful implementation of the State's voter-list maintenance program;
- the statutory or administrative authority relied upon;
- the records supporting that determination; and
- whether corrective action is required.

B. Production and Inspection of Implementation Records

Produce, or make available for inspection, all records concerning the implementation of the State's voter-list maintenance programs that are required to be maintained or made available under 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i).

To the extent records exist, they should include, where applicable:

- identification of the records reviewed in reaching the State's conclusions;
- implementation records supporting voter-list maintenance activities;
- records documenting additions, changes, removals, or corrections to voter-registration records;
- records identifying the authority for those actions;
- records identifying the responsible officials or offices;
- confirmation-notice records required by federal law;
- written procedures governing implementation of voter-list maintenance programs; and
- any other records necessary to explain the documented conditions identified in the attached appendices.

Electronic production in the format maintained by the State is preferred whenever reasonably available.

C. Written Explanation of Documented Record-Level Conditions

Provide a written explanation describing the procedures, policies, and implementation practices responsible for the documented record-level conditions identified in the attached appendices.

Where a documented condition reflects a lawful administrative process, identify:

- the applicable procedure;
- the authority under which it occurred;
- the records documenting that action; and
- the basis upon which the State concludes the action complied with the National Voter Registration Act.

Where a documented condition reflects an error, omission, or deficiency, identify:

- the corrective action taken;
 - the date correction occurred;
 - the records documenting the correction; and
 - any prospective measures implemented to prevent recurrence.
-

D. Preservation of Relevant Records

Preserve all records, electronic data, audit logs, implementation records, correspondence, database transaction logs, database change-history tables, import/export transaction logs, system event logs, and implementation documentation relevant to the matters identified in this Notice until the issues presented herein have been resolved.

Such preservation should include all records reasonably necessary to reconstruct, explain, or verify the implementation of the voter-list maintenance activities identified in the attached appendices.

This preservation request includes active records, archived records, backup media, disaster-recovery copies, cloud-hosted records, replicated databases, audit repositories, and any other electronic storage containing implementation records relevant to the matters identified in this Notice.

E. Written Disposition

Provide a written response addressing each record-level violation identified and the category patterns identified in this Notice.

For each category, indicate whether the State:

- agrees that corrective action is required;
- disputes the asserted statutory duty;
- disputes the factual basis;
- has undertaken corrective action;
- requires additional information; or
- contends that no violation exists.

Where corrective action is denied, identify the statutory, regulatory, or legal authority upon which the State relies.

The violation categories described above are supported by the record-level documentation contained in the incorporated appendices.

VI. STATUTORY CURE PERIOD

This Notice is provided pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b). Because the violations alleged in this Notice are continuing and are occurring within one hundred twenty (120) days before the November 3, 2026 federal general election, the twenty-day cure period prescribed by 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(2) applies. U4F and the identified aggrieved persons therefore request that the State investigate, document, explain, correct, preserve, produce, or otherwise resolve the matters identified herein within twenty (20) days after receipt of this Notice.

If the State contends that any portion of this Notice is not subject to the **twenty (20) day cure period** provided by 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b), the State should identify the specific factual and legal basis for that position in its written response.

The applicable statutory cure period shall be determined in accordance with 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b), including the provisions governing notices provided within one hundred twenty (120) days before a federal election and the provisions applicable to notices provided within thirty (30) days before such an election.

Nothing contained in this Notice shall be construed as shortening or enlarging any period established by Congress.

VII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Nothing contained in this Notice should be construed as:

- an allegation that any identified individual voter is ineligible to vote;
- an allegation that any particular ballot was unlawfully counted or rejected;
- an allegation that any identified record independently establishes an NVRA violation;
- a request that any voter be removed from the registration rolls;
- a challenge to any completed election result; or
- a waiver of any right, remedy, claim, or cause of action available under federal or state law.

This Notice concerns only the continuing statutory duties imposed by the National Voter Registration Act and the State's opportunity to investigate, explain, correct, preserve, or otherwise resolve the matters identified herein before litigation is commenced.

Nothing contained in this Notice limits the right of U4F or any aggrieved person to pursue any additional administrative, judicial, or other lawful remedy arising from the matters identified herein.

VIII. INCORPORATION OF APPENDICES

Each documented record identified within the appendices is a suspected violation and forms part of the factual basis supporting one or more of the statutory violation categories identified in this Notice.

The appendices accompanying this Notice consist of:

Appendix A — U4F Election Validity Scorecard (California 2024 General Election)

Appendix B — U4F Vote Tampering Report (California)

Appendix C — Supplemental UOCAVA-Related List-Maintenance and Records Notice (California)

Electronic Exhibit 1 (USB Media) — Machine-Readable Supporting Data

The USB media accompanying this Notice contains the machine-readable supporting datasets, including the California NVRA Scorecard Section 01 and Section 02 datasets, the California Election Validity Scorecard dataset, and the California Vote Tampering Report dataset. Due to file size, certain record-level datasets are provided exclusively on USB media rather than as paper attachments.

IX. REQUEST FOR WRITTEN RESPONSE

The identified aggrieved person(s) and U4F respectfully request a written response addressing the matters identified in this Notice.

The written or machine-readable response should identify, for each requested record and implementation record category, whether the record is being produced, made available for inspection, withheld, unavailable, never created, destroyed pursuant to an established retention schedule, or otherwise unavailable. For every category not produced, the response should identify the statutory, regulatory, administrative, or other legal authority supporting that determination and the official responsible for that determination.

To facilitate meaningful review and resolution, the response should identify, for each violation presented herein:

1. whether the State agrees or disagrees that the identified statutory duty applies;
2. whether the documented record-level conditions have been investigated;
3. whether corrective action has been undertaken;
4. whether responsive records exist and will be produced or made available for inspection;
5. whether additional information is requested from the identified aggrieved person(s) or U4F; and
6. the name, title, office, and contact information of the individual responsible for coordinating the State's response.

If the State concludes that any requested implementation record does not exist, the response should identify the official responsible for maintaining that category of record and state whether the record was never created, no longer exists, or cannot be located.

To the extent the State concludes that any requested corrective action is unnecessary, the response should identify the statutory, regulatory, administrative, or judicial authority upon which that determination is based.

X. GOOD-FAITH RESOLUTION

The purpose of this Notice is to provide the opportunity for voluntary compliance contemplated by Congress.

The identified aggrieved person(s) and U4F remain willing to confer with the State concerning the matters identified herein, provide additional information reasonably necessary to facilitate review, and discuss appropriate corrective measures consistent with the requirements of the National Voter Registration Act.

Nothing in this Notice should be interpreted as discouraging voluntary cooperation, clarification, or resolution during the statutory cure period.

U4F and the identified aggrieved person(s) respectfully request the State's timely attention to the matters identified in this Notice and appreciate its cooperation in achieving voluntary compliance with the National Voter Registration Act.

Respectfully submitted,



For Unite4Freedom

167 LAMP & LANTERN VILLAGE,
Suite 194
CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017
314-498-2569
harryhaury@protonmail.com



Harry R Haury

167 LAMP & LANTERN VILLAGE,
Suite 194
CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017
314-498-2569
harryhaury@protonmail.com



Gregory Stenstrom, Pro Se

1541 Farmers Lane

Glen Mills, PA 19342

856-264-5495

gregorystenstrom@gmail.com

September 2, 2026

/s/ Michael Seilnacht, Pro Se

a registered California voter, Unite4Freedom volunteer, and aggrieved person providing this Notice

c/o Unite4Freedom

167 LAMP & LANTERN VILLAGE,

Suite 194

CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017

/s/ Cheryl Frink, Pro Se

a registered California voter, Unite4Freedom volunteer, and aggrieved person providing this Notice

c/o Unite4Freedom

167 LAMP & LANTERN VILLAGE,

Suite 194

CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017

/s/ Susan (Sue) Bozzini, Pro Se

a registered California voter, Unite4Freedom volunteer, and aggrieved person providing this Notice

c/o Unite4Freedom

167 LAMP & LANTERN VILLAGE,

Suite 194

CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017

APPENDIX A

UNITE4FREEDOM ELECTION VALIDITY SCORECARD — CALIFORNIA

I. PURPOSE

This Appendix provides the principal factual support for the voter-registration and voter-list-maintenance categories identified in the Notice of Violations and Demand for Corrective Action. The analysis was generated from official California voter-registration and voter-history records and identifies record-level conditions requiring investigation, explanation, correction, preservation, or disclosure under the National Voter Registration Act ("NVRA"). This Appendix is incorporated into the Notice by reference.

II. SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS

The Scorecard organizes documented conditions in official statewide records. It does not determine election winners, voter eligibility, or whether any individual record independently establishes a violation of federal law. The identified conditions are presented so the State can determine whether they reflect lawful administration, correctable error, insufficient implementation records, or another documented cause.

III. CALIFORNIA 2024 GENERAL ELECTION SUMMARY

Voter Registration Record Conditions

Category	Number of Instances
Duplicate registrations	114,139
Registrant with multiple votes in same election	140,471
Voted prior to "New Registration" date	3,074,762
Questionable inactive status	21,675
Voted while inactive	87,518
Backdated registrations	3,817,658
Registered on January 1st	147,929
Invalid or illogical registration date	1,610,766
Invalid registration changes	234,433
Age discrepant registrants	12,336
Registrants with questionable address	274,727
ID with no identity (4,230 vote records)	3,160

Total registration conditions identified: 9,539,574

Unique registrations identified: 8,389,091

Records Associated With Votes Cast in the 2024 General Election

Category	Number of Instances
Duplicate registrations	66,460
Registrant with multiple votes in '22 GE	104,859
Voted prior to "New Registration" date	2,440,507

Category	Number of Instances
Questionable inactive status	17,086
Voted while inactive	204
Backdated registrations	2,341,572
Registered on January 1st	60,777
Invalid or illogical registration date	1,023,443
Invalid registration changes	234,501
Age discrepant registrants	3,125
Registrants with questionable address	99,900
ID with no identity	205

Total voting conditions identified: 6,392,639

Unique votes identified: 5,588,594

Certification Comparison

Official Source	Reported Total
State Official Results (Official Canvass) — votes counted	16,140,044
State VoteCal Database — voters who voted	16,062,959
Difference (more votes counted than voters who voted)	77,085

Scorecard Reported Rates and HAVA Comparison

Reported measure	Scorecard figure
Registration error rate	32.6%
Vote error rate	34.6%
Ballots counted in error in the 2024 General Election	5,588,594
Allowable machine error stated by Scorecard	130 ballots
Excess ballots counted in error stated by Scorecard	5,588,464
Total election errors (Sections 2 + 3)	5,665,679
Total election error rate	35.1%

Arithmetic verification: the twelve registration-category counts total 9,539,574; the twelve vote-associated category counts total 6,392,639; and 5,588,594 plus the 77,085 certification difference equals the reported 5,665,679 total election errors.

IV. INTERPRETATION

The Scorecard identifies categories requiring review and supporting documentation, including duplicate or conflicting registrations, multiple-vote-history conditions, registration-date anomalies, inactive-status conditions, invalid registration changes, questionable-address conditions, and other voter-history conditions. Each record-level condition is supported by corresponding machine-readable data in Electronic Exhibit 1. The State is requested to identify the governing procedure, supporting records,

responsible office or system, and any correction or lawful explanation applicable to each category and record.

V. INCORPORATED MATERIALS

- California 2024 General Election Validity Scorecard (August 7, 2026)
- California NVRA Scorecard Section 01 and Section 02 machine-readable datasets
- California Election Validity Scorecard machine-readable supporting dataset

APPENDIX A — SOURCE REPORT

California 2024 General Election Validity Scorecard (August 7, 2026)



UNITE
4FREEDOM

"Congress seeks. . . to guard the election of members of Congress against any possible unfairness by compelling, under its pains and penalties, everyone concerned in holding the election to a strict and scrupulous observance of every duty devolved upon him while so engaged. . . . The evil intent consists in disobedience to the law." —In re Coy, 127 U.S. 731 (1888)

California 2024 General Election Validity Scorecard

★ 1. Were the voter rolls accurate, as required by the National Voter Registration Act of 1993?		
Registrations with material errors and omissions as per the Civil Rights Acts of 1964	Number of Instances*	
Duplicate registrations	114,139	32.6% REGISTRATION ERROR RATE
Registrant with multiple votes in same election	140,471	
Voted prior to "New Registration" date	3,074,762	
Questionable inactive status	21,675	
Voted while inactive	87,518	
Backdated registrations	3,817,658	
Registered on January 1st	147,929	
Invalid or illogical registration date	1,610,766	
Invalid registration changes	234,433	
Age discrepant registrants	12,336	
Registrants with questionable address	274,727	
ID with no identity (4230 Vote Records)	3,160	
TOTAL REGISTRATION VIOLATIONS PROHIBITED BY LAW:		9,539,574
UNIQUE REGISTRATIONS PROHIBITED BY LAW:		8,389,091
★ 2. Were the votes counted from eligible voters, as required by the US Constitution?		
Registrations with material errors and omissions whose votes were counted	Number of Instances*	
Duplicate registrations	66,460	34.6% VOTE ERROR RATE
Registrant with multiple votes in '22 GE	104,859	
Voted prior to "New Registration" date	2,440,507	
Questionable inactive status	17,086	
Voted while inactive	204	
Backdated registrations	2,341,572	
Registered on January 1st	60,777	
Invalid or illogical registration date	1,023,443	
Invalid registration changes	234,501	
Age discrepant registrants	3,125	
Registrants with questionable address	99,900	
ID with no identity	205	
TOTAL VOTING VIOLATIONS PROHIBITED BY LAW:		6,392,639
UNIQUE VOTES PROHIBITED BY LAW:		5,588,594
★ 3. Was the number of votes counted equal to the number of voters who voted?		
Official Source	Reported Total by Official Source	
State Official Results (Official Canvass)—Votes counted	16,140,044	
State VoteCal Database (number of voters)—Voters who voted	16,062,959	
DIFFERENCE (MORE votes counted than voters who voted):		77,085
★ 4. Was the number of ballots in error valid according to the Help America Vote Act of 2002?		
Total ballots counted in error in the 2024 GE	5,588,594	
Allowable machine error rate is 1/10,000,000 ballot positions or 1/125,000 ballots	130	
Total excess ballots counted in error: Provable accuracy fails to meet any protective legal standard		5,588,464
★ TOTAL ELECTION ERRORS (Sections 2+3)		5,665,679
		35.1% TOTAL ELECTION ERROR RATE

*Extracted from an official copy of the California Voter database provided by CA SOS, dated December 16, 2024.

APPENDIX B

UNITE4FREEDOM VOTE TAMPERING REPORT — CALIFORNIA

DOCUMENTED POST-CERTIFICATION VOTER-HISTORY RECORD CHANGE ANALYSIS

I. PURPOSE

This Appendix provides the second principal factual basis for the Notice. It reproduces the complete category-level figures displayed in the California Vote Tampering Report dated July 14, 2026. The report compares successive official California voter-history record snapshots after election certification. Each displayed difference is presented as a documented record change requiring investigation, implementation records, preservation, and explanation.

II. SOURCE DEFINITIONS

For voters present in both record copies, the source report displays “Vote to NO vote” and “NO Vote to Vote” changes. For voters present in only one record copy, it displays “Vote Added” and “Vote Deleted.” The tables below reproduce the source report’s labels, snapshot dates, category counts, and published totals.

III. 2024 GENERAL ELECTION

Change category	12/16/2024 to 12/30/2024	12/30/2024 to 04/07/2025
Vote to NO vote	196	282
NO Vote to Vote	3,366	8,771
Vote Added	22,640	83,530
Vote Deleted	32,597	102,821
Sum of displayed categories	58,799	195,404
Published comparison total	58,799	195,404
Difference	0	0

The two published comparison totals sum to the report’s published 2024 General Election total of 254,203 documented changes.

IV. 2022 GENERAL ELECTION

Change category	12/07/2023 to 05/21/2024	05/21/2024 to 09/10/2024
Vote to NO vote	890	43,034
NO Vote to Vote	35,514	1,992
Vote Added	89,669	20,141
Vote Deleted	275,339	47,124
Sum of displayed categories	401,412	112,291
Published comparison total	422,309	130,262
Published total less displayed sum	20,897	17,971

The source report publishes a 2022 General Election total of 552,571. Its four displayed categories sum to 513,703, leaving 38,868 changes included in the published total but not allocated among the four displayed categories.

V. 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

Change category	12/07/2023 to 05/21/2024	05/21/2024 to 09/10/2024
Vote to NO vote	1,309	20,141
NO Vote to Vote	24,700	3,283
Vote Added	127,259	885,302
Vote Deleted	920,139	78,047
Sum of displayed categories	1,073,407	986,773
Published comparison total	1,111,963	1,014,345
Published total less displayed sum	38,556	27,572

The source report publishes a 2020 General Election total of 2,126,308. Its four displayed categories sum to 2,060,180, leaving 66,128 changes included in the published total but not allocated among the four displayed categories.

VI. RECONCILIATION OF PUBLISHED TOTALS

The 2024 category counts reconcile exactly to the published comparison totals. The 2022 and 2020 published totals exceed the arithmetic sums of the four displayed categories. This Appendix preserves both sets of source figures without altering them. U4F requests records sufficient to determine whether the differences represent additional change categories, suppressed or omitted display rows, deduplication or aggregation rules, or another documented calculation. Electronic Exhibit 1 is incorporated for record-level reconciliation.

VII. REQUIRED IMPLEMENTATION RECORDS

For each documented change, each amount included in a published total, and each automated or manual process capable of producing the change, the State is requested to preserve and make available records sufficient to identify:

- the affected record, prior value, new value, transaction date and time, and effective date;
- the official, office, user account, automated process, vendor, application, or service responsible;
- the source record, legal authority, written procedure, and authorization supporting the change;
- quality-control, exception, reconciliation, and verification records;
- database transaction logs, change-history tables, import and export logs, synchronization and replication logs, and system event logs;
- archival snapshots, backups, recovery records, and records sufficient to reconstruct the complete transaction history;
- whether the change affected a voter-registration record, voter-history record, or another field used to report participation in a federal election; and

- the records, calculations, category definitions, and systems used to produce and reconcile every published comparison total.

If a requested category does not exist, was not retained, or cannot be located, the response should identify the unavailable category, the responsible custodian, the reason for unavailability, the retention authority relied upon, and any alternative record documenting the same activity.

VIII. LIMITATIONS AND INCORPORATION

The analysis identifies differences appearing between official State record snapshots. A comparison does not independently establish the cause, legal status, or responsible actor for any particular change. The California Vote Tampering Report dated July 14, 2026, its machine-readable supporting dataset, and the record-level files supplied in Electronic Exhibit 1 are incorporated into this Appendix and the Notice.

APPENDIX B — SOURCE REPORT

California Vote Tampering Report (July 14, 2026)



California Vote Tampering Report



Illegal changes to voter participation histories AFTER election certification

The counts below reflect the number of illegal changes made to individual voter history records after an election was certified. The top data set in each election chart includes illegal changes to vote participation history for voter records that exist in both voter record copies being compared. The second data set in each election chart includes the illegal additions or deletions of entire voter profiles and their associated voting participation histories for that election. Each instance documents modifications made to official federal records after the final certification of the election.

2024 General Election	Changes	12/16/2024 compared to 12/30/2024	12/30/2024 compared to 4/7/2025
Voter exists in ALL voter record copies being compared	Vote to NO vote	196	282
	NO Vote to Vote	3,366	8,771
Voter exists in only ONE copy of voter records being compared	Vote Added	22,640	83,530
	Vote Deleted	32,597	102,821
TOTAL NUMBER OF CHANGES	254,203	58,799	195,404

2022 General Election	Changes	12/7/2023 compared to 5/21/2024	5/21/2024 compared to 9/10/2024
Voter exists in ALL voter record copies being compared	Vote to NO vote	890	43,034
	NO Vote to Vote	35,514	1,992
Voter exists in only ONE copy of voter records being compared	Vote Added	89,669	20,141
	Vote Deleted	275,339	47,124
TOTAL NUMBER OF CHANGES	552,571	422,309	130,262

2020 General Election	Changes	12/7/2023 compared to 5/21/2024	5/21/2024 compared to 9/10/2024
Voter exists in ALL voter record copies being compared	Vote to NO vote	1,309	20,141
	NO Vote to Vote	24,700	3,283
Voter exists in only ONE copy of voter records being compared	Vote Added	127,259	885,302
	Vote Deleted	920,139	78,047
TOTAL NUMBER OF CHANGES	2,126,308	1,111,963	1,014,345

APPENDIX C

SUPPLEMENTAL UOCAVA-RELATED LIST-MAINTENANCE AND RECORDS NOTICE — CALIFORNIA

I. PURPOSE AND RELATIONSHIP TO APPENDICES A AND B

This Appendix reinstates a limited UOCAVA-related component of the Notice. Appendices A and B remain the principal factual basis for the alleged NVRA violations and requested cure. Appendix C requests California-specific records and explanations necessary to determine whether list-maintenance activities affecting military and overseas voter records were implemented, documented, preserved, and made available consistently with 52 U.S.C. § 20507. It is supplemental and does not depend on allegations concerning how UOCAVA voters voted or on any presumption that UOCAVA status establishes ineligibility.

II. CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE CONTEXT

California publicly describes procedures by which military and overseas voters may use the Federal Post Card Application, request and receive election materials, return voted ballots by mail, and, in specified circumstances, return a voted ballot by fax with a signed return envelope and an additional oath waiving ballot confidentiality. These procedures necessarily create, update, transmit, reconcile, or retain voter-registration and voter-history records across the Secretary of State, VoteCal, and county election offices.

The federal UOCAVA framework requires States to permit covered voters to use absentee-registration procedures and vote by absentee ballot in federal elections. This Appendix does not ask California to impose a registration qualification prohibited by UOCAVA, reject a valid Federal Post Card Application, or remove any voter solely because the voter is classified as military or overseas. It requests the records and explanations needed to evaluate the accuracy, currency, uniformity, and documentation of list-maintenance activities affecting those records.

III. RECORD CATEGORIES REQUESTED FOR INSPECTION AND PRODUCTION

Pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i), and as part of the requested corrective action under § 20510(b), U4F requests inspection or electronic production of the following records concerning programs and activities conducted to ensure the accuracy and currency of official lists of eligible voters, to the extent they concern California military or overseas voter classifications or records:

1. current and historical written policies, manuals, directives, county guidance, training materials, data dictionaries, business rules, and retention schedules governing creation, coding, renewal, continuation, change, cancellation, or expiration of military or overseas voter status;
2. field definitions and permissible values used in VoteCal and county systems to identify a UOCAVA, military, overseas, Federal Post Card Application, Federal

Write-In Absentee Ballot, electronic-delivery, fax-return, or related status or transaction;

3. records identifying how Federal Post Card Applications and California online, mailed, faxed, or emailed submissions are received, validated, matched, deduplicated, accepted, rejected, corrected, transferred, and synchronized between county systems and VoteCal;
4. records documenting identity matching, signature handling, residence and prior-residence review, eligibility review, duplicate detection, deceased-voter matching, change-of-address processing, returned-mail processing, and other list-maintenance procedures actually applied to covered records;
5. records sufficient to identify additions, deletions, merges, splits, status changes, registration-date changes, address changes, voter-history changes, and other material modifications to covered records, including complete audit trails and prior values;
6. county-to-State and State-to-county interface specifications, transaction and exception logs, rejected-record queues, reconciliation reports, correction queues, and records documenting resolution of discrepancies;
7. records identifying third-party vendors, contractors, hosted services, or automated processes used to administer, transmit, receive, modify, reconcile, archive, or report covered records, together with relevant audit and change-management records;
8. aggregate county and statewide counts, by election and transaction type, sufficient to reconcile applications received, records created or updated, ballots transmitted, undeliverable materials, ballot-return history posted, records rejected or corrected, and unresolved exceptions, without disclosure of protected ballot selections;
9. records documenting the State's 2024 and 2026 UOCAVA/MOVE Act surveys, county responses, exception follow-up, remediation, and certification or compliance review, to the extent those records concern voter registration, record accuracy, record currency, or voter-history posting; and
10. records identifying every database, repository, backup, archive, log source, county system, State system, and custodian searched in responding to this Appendix.

IV. RECORD-LEVEL EXPLANATION AND CORRELATION WITH APPENDICES A AND B

For each Appendix A or Appendix B record carrying a military, overseas, UOCAVA, FPCA, FWAB, electronic-delivery, fax-return, or related indicator, the State is requested to identify the indicator and provide or make available the corresponding implementation records sufficient to explain the record condition or change. A response may use a stable pseudonymous record key where necessary to protect confidential information, provided that the key permits the parties to correlate the response to the machine-readable record supplied in Electronic Exhibit 1.

For each responsive record condition, the response should identify whether the condition arose from a lawful UOCAVA procedure, ordinary list maintenance, data migration, county-State synchronization, correction of a prior error, posting of voter participation history, an automated process, or another identified cause. The response should also state whether the prior value and complete audit history were preserved.

V. PRESERVATION

The preservation demand in Section V.D of the Notice applies fully to the UOCAVA-related records described here. It includes active and archived VoteCal and county data; FPCA and FWAB transaction records; military and overseas voter status histories; ballot-transmission and voter-history-posting logs; fax transmission cover records and oaths to the extent lawfully retained; interface, exception, audit, and reconciliation logs; vendor and cloud records; backup and disaster-recovery copies; and documentation sufficient to reconstruct each material change. Ballot selections and other information protected by law may be segregated or redacted while preserving non-content transaction and audit evidence.

VI. SCOPE, PRIVACY, AND LEGAL LIMITATIONS

- This Appendix does not allege that military or overseas voters, as a class, are ineligible or less entitled to vote.
- It does not request rejection of a valid FPCA, cancellation of UOCAVA protections, or removal of any voter solely because of military or overseas status.
- It does not assert that electronic transmission or fax return, where authorized, alone establishes an NVRA violation.
- It does not seek disclosure of ballot selections or information that federal or California law requires to remain confidential. Redaction, pseudonymization, or a controlled inspection protocol may be used where necessary, while preserving the ability to audit the relevant transaction.
- It does not assert a private cause of action under UOCAVA. Potential UOCAVA compliance matters are copied to the Attorney General, who has enforcement authority under 52 U.S.C. § 20307. The private notice and cure demand remain grounded in the NVRA duties identified in the Notice.

VII. REQUESTED DISPOSITION

The State's written response should address each numbered record category, identify the custodian and systems searched, state whether responsive records will be produced or made available for inspection, and explain any withholding or nonexistence. The response should separately identify any UOCAVA-related records or transaction categories implicated by the Appendix A and Appendix B machine-readable datasets and state what corrective action, if any, is required.

VIII. OFFICIAL REFERENCE MATERIALS

- California Secretary of State, Military & Overseas Voters, <https://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/voter-registration/military-overseas-voters>
- California Secretary of State, Military and Overseas Voter FAQs, <https://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/voter-registration/military-overseas-voters/military-overseas-voters-faqs>
- California Secretary of State, Mailing Addresses and Fax Numbers for Military or Overseas Voters, <https://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/voter-registration/military-overseas-voters/mailling-military-or-overseas-voters>
- 52 U.S.C. §§ 20301–20311 (UOCAVA) and 52 U.S.C. §§ 20507, 20510 (NVRA).