

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS AND DEMAND FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION

PURSUANT TO 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)

**VIA CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
AND ELECTRONIC MAIL**

August 18, 2026

Bernadette Matthews

Executive Director and Chief State Election Official

Illinois State Board of Elections

2329 S. MacArthur Blvd.

Springfield, IL 62704

CC:

The Honorable Kwame Raoul

Attorney General of Illinois

Office of the Illinois Attorney General

500 South Second Street

Springfield, IL 62701

Eric V. Neff Acting Chief, Voting Section

Civil Rights Division

U.S. Department of Justice

4 Constitution Square

150 M Street, NE

Room 8.923

Washington, DC 20530

I. INTRODUCTION

This Notice is provided pursuant to Section 11(b) of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 ("NVRA"), 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b).

Unite 4 Freedom, Inc. ("U4F"), together with the identified aggrieved person(s), provides this Notice of Violations and Demand for Corrective Action concerning the State's continuing implementation of voter-registration list-maintenance programs, maintenance, and preservation of records concerning those programs, and public inspection obligations imposed by the National Voter Registration Act.

The attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards, Vote Tampering Reports, and supporting machine-readable data identify documented record-level conditions requiring investigation, explanation, correction, preservation, or disclosure under the NVRA. These materials are presented to identify suspected statutory violations requiring review and corrective action. They are not presented as proof that every individual record independently establishes an NVRA violation.

This Notice identifies the statutory duties at issue, the categories of alleged violations, the supporting factual bases, individual records that appear to be in violation of legal requirements, and the corrective actions requested. The summary report is provided as a guide to the violations, but each record must be resolved and explained. It is intended to provide the notice and opportunity to cure required by Congress before a civil action may be commenced under 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b).

II. NATURE OF THE NOTICE

Congress enacted the National Voter Registration Act to establish uniform national standards governing voter-registration administration, voter-list maintenance, and public inspection of records concerning those programs and activities.

Section 20510(b) authorizes an aggrieved person to provide written notice of violations to the chief State election official and affords the State an opportunity to investigate, correct, explain, or otherwise cure those violations before civil litigation is commenced.

This Notice concerns three categories of continuing statutory duties imposed by the NVRA:

1. The duty to conduct a reasonable and uniform voter-list maintenance program consistent with federal law;
2. The duty to maintain, preserve, and make available for public inspection those records Congress expressly identified in 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i); and

3. The duty to document, preserve, and explain the implementation of those list-maintenance programs sufficiently to permit meaningful public review and statutory compliance.

For administrative efficiency, the identified record-level conditions are summarized in this Notice and presented in detail within the attached appendices. Each documented record is documentation of a suspected violation and must be reviewed individually. These individual records also identify a suspected pattern of violation and form part of the factual basis for this Notice of Suspected Statutory Violations.

III. FACTUAL BASIS OF THIS NOTICE

U4F has analyzed official voter-registration, voter-history, and related election records obtained from the State and its election officials. Using those official records, U4F generated State-specific Election Validity Scorecards, Vote Tampering Reports, and supporting machine-readable datasets identifying documented conditions requiring further investigation, explanation, correction, preservation, or disclosure.

The attached analyses identify recurring categories of record-level conditions that include, where applicable:

- apparent changes to voter-history records following election certification;
- apparent irregularities in voter-registration records;
- duplicate or conflicting registration records;
- unexplained registration-date anomalies;
- record changes requiring supporting implementation documentation;
- apparent inconsistencies in voter-list maintenance activities; and
- other documented conditions relevant to the statutory duties identified in this Notice.

To the extent any documented condition arises from voter-registration or voter-list maintenance activities involving Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act ("UOCAVA") registrants, this Notice likewise requests the implementation records, explanatory documentation, audit records, and preservation records sufficient to determine whether those activities were administered consistently with the National Voter Registration Act and other

applicable federal law. This Notice does not assert an independent UOCAVA claim but requests records concerning any list-maintenance activities affecting UOCAVA registrants to the extent those activities are relevant to the statutory duties identified herein.

The analyses identify documented record-level conditions requiring investigation under the National Voter Registration Act.

Whether any individual condition ultimately constitutes a statutory violation depends upon the implementation records and explanations required by federal law and requested in this Notice.

The attached appendices identify the documented record-level conditions and the corresponding categories of implementation records requested for each alleged statutory violation.

IV. ALLEGED STATUTORY VIOLATIONS

The record-level conditions identified in the attached appendices fall into three principal categories of continuing statutory violations under the National Voter Registration Act.

Each category corresponds to a separate statutory duty imposed by Congress. Although individual records may implicate more than one statutory provision, the categories below are presented separately to facilitate investigation, response, and corrective action.

The factual allegations supporting each category are contained in the attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards, Vote Tampering Reports, and supporting machine-readable datasets incorporated by reference into this Notice.

A. Failure to Conduct a Reasonable and Uniform List-Maintenance Program

52 U.S.C. § 20507(a)(4)

The attached analyses identify documented conditions requiring investigation concerning the State's continuing implementation of voter-list maintenance programs.

These conditions include, where applicable:

- duplicate or conflicting voter-registration records;
- unexplained changes in voter-registration status;
- registrations containing internally inconsistent or illogical data;
- apparent irregular registration changes;
- registration conditions requiring documented explanation under established list-maintenance procedures; and
- other record-level conditions identified within Appendix A.

This Notice does not presume that every identified condition independently establishes a violation of federal law. Rather, the documented conditions require the State to determine whether its list-maintenance program has been implemented in a reasonable, uniform, and nondiscriminatory manner consistent with the requirements imposed by Congress.

The corresponding record-level instances are identified within the attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards and supporting datasets.

B. Failure to Maintain and Make Available Records Concerning List-Maintenance Programs

52 U.S.C. § 20507(i)

The attached analyses further identify documented conditions requiring production, preservation, or explanation of records concerning the implementation of the State's voter-list maintenance programs.

Among other matters, the appendices identify documented record-level conditions for which implementation records, implementation documentation, or explanatory materials are necessary to determine:

- the basis for recorded changes;
- the authority under which those changes occurred;
- the implementation of voter-list maintenance procedures;
- the preservation of required records; and
- the completeness of the State's public-inspection obligations under the National Voter Registration Act.

To the extent those implementation records exist, this Notice requests their production or inspection as part of the corrective actions described above.

To the extent such records do not exist, this Notice requests written confirmation identifying the specific records unavailable and the reasons for their absence.

C. Failure to Preserve and Document Record-Level Changes Relevant to List-Maintenance Activities

The attached U4F analyses identify numerous documented changes to voter-history and voter-registration records occurring across successive official statewide voter-file snapshots.

These documented changes do not, standing alone, establish that any particular modification violated federal law. They do, however, identify record-level conditions requiring investigation, explanation, and implementation documentation sufficient to determine whether the State has maintained and preserved records concerning the implementation of its voter-list maintenance programs as required by the National Voter Registration Act.

The corresponding record-level instances are identified throughout the attached Vote Tampering Reports and implementation of machine-readable datasets.

V. REQUESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION

Pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b), U4F and the identified aggrieved person(s) respectfully request that the State **investigate, document, explain, correct, preserve, or otherwise resolve the statutory matters identified in this Notice** and appendices.

Specifically, U4F requests that the State undertake the following corrective actions.

A. Investigation of Identified Record-Level Conditions

Investigate each category of documented record-level conditions identified in the attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards, Vote Tampering Reports, and implementation of machine-readable datasets.

The investigation should determine, at a minimum:

- whether the identified condition reflects a lawful implementation of the State's voter-list maintenance program;
 - the statutory or administrative authority relied upon;
 - the records supporting that determination; and
 - whether corrective action is required.
-

B. Production and Inspection of Implementation Records

Produce, or make available for inspection, all records concerning the implementation of the State's voter-list maintenance programs that are required to be maintained or made available under 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i).

To the extent records exist, they should include, where applicable:

- identification of the records reviewed in reaching the State's conclusions;
- implementation records supporting voter-list maintenance activities;
- records documenting additions, changes, removals, or corrections to voter-registration records;
- records identifying the authority for those actions;
- records identifying the responsible officials or offices;
- confirmation-notice records required by federal law;
- written procedures governing implementation of voter-list maintenance programs; and
- any other records necessary to explain the documented conditions identified in the attached appendices.

Electronic production in the format maintained by the State is preferred whenever reasonably available.

C. Written Explanation of Documented Record-Level Conditions

Provide a written explanation describing the procedures, policies, and implementation practices responsible for the documented record-level conditions identified in the attached appendices.

Where a documented condition reflects a lawful administrative process, identify:

- the applicable procedure;
- the authority under which it occurred;
- the records documenting that action; and
- the basis upon which the State concludes the action complied with the National Voter Registration Act.

Where a documented condition reflects an error, omission, or deficiency, identify:

- the corrective action taken;
- the date correction occurred;
- the records documenting the correction; and
- any prospective measures implemented to prevent recurrence.

D. Preservation of Relevant Records

Preserve all records, electronic data, audit logs, implementation records, correspondence, database transaction logs, database change-history tables, import/export transaction logs, system event logs, and implementation documentation relevant to the matters identified in this Notice until the issues presented herein have been resolved.

Such preservation should include all records reasonably necessary to reconstruct, explain, or verify the implementation of the voter-list maintenance activities identified in the attached appendices.

This preservation request includes active records, archived records, backup media, disaster-recovery copies, cloud-hosted records, replicated databases, audit repositories, and any other electronic storage containing implementation records relevant to the matters identified in this Notice.

E. Written Disposition

Provide a written response addressing each record level violation identified and the category patterns identified in this Notice.

For each category, indicate whether the State:

- agrees that corrective action is required;
- disputes the asserted statutory duty;
- disputes the factual basis;
- has undertaken corrective action;
- requires additional information; or
- contends that no violation exists.

Where corrective action is denied, identify the statutory, regulatory, or legal authority upon which the State relies.

The violation categories described above are supported by the record-level documentation contained in the incorporated appendices.

VI. STATUTORY CURE PERIOD

This Notice is provided pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b). Because this Notice is served within **one hundred twenty (120) days before the November 3, 2026 federal general election**, U4F and the identified aggrieved person(s) request that the State investigate, document, explain, correct, preserve, produce, or otherwise resolve the matters identified herein within the **twenty (20) day statutory cure period** prescribed by 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b), to the extent applicable.

If the State contends that any portion of this Notice is not subject to the **twenty (20) day cure period** provided by 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b), the State should identify the specific factual and legal basis for that position in its written response.

The applicable statutory cure period shall be determined in accordance with 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b), including the provisions governing notices provided within one hundred twenty (120) days before a federal election and the provisions applicable to notices provided within thirty (30) days before such an election.

Nothing contained in this Notice shall be construed as shortening or enlarging any period established by Congress.

VII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Nothing contained in this Notice should be construed as:

- an allegation that any identified individual voter is ineligible to vote;
- an allegation that any particular ballot was unlawfully counted or rejected;
- an allegation that any identified record independently establishes an NVRA violation;
- a request that any voter be removed from the registration rolls;
- a challenge to any completed election result; or
- a waiver of any right, remedy, claim, or cause of action available under federal or state law.

This Notice concerns only the continuing statutory duties imposed by the National Voter Registration Act and the State's opportunity to investigate, explain, correct, preserve, or otherwise resolve the matters identified herein before litigation is commenced.

Nothing contained in this Notice limits the right of U4F or any aggrieved person to pursue any additional administrative, judicial, or other lawful remedy arising from the matters identified herein.

VIII. INCORPORATION OF APPENDICES

Each documented record identified within the appendices is a suspected violation and forms part of the factual basis supporting one or more of the statutory violation categories identified in this Notice.

The appendices accompanying this Notice consist of:

- **Appendix A** — U4F Election Validity Scorecard (Illinois 2024 General Election)
- **Appendix B** — U4F Vote Tampering Report (Illinois)
- **Electronic Exhibit 1** (USB Media) — Machine-Readable Supporting Data

The USB media accompanying this Notice contains the machine-readable supporting datasets, including the Illinois NVRA Scorecard Section 01 and Section 02 datasets, the Illinois Election Validity Scorecard dataset, and the Illinois Vote Tampering Report dataset. Due to file size, certain record-level datasets are provided exclusively on USB media rather than as paper attachments.

IX. REQUEST FOR WRITTEN RESPONSE

The identified aggrieved person(s) and U4F respectfully request a written response addressing the matters identified in this Notice.

The written or machine readable response should identify, for each requested record and implementation record category, whether the record is being produced, made available for inspection, withheld, unavailable, never created, destroyed pursuant to an established retention schedule, or otherwise unavailable. For every category not produced, the response should identify the statutory, regulatory, administrative, or other legal authority supporting that determination and the official responsible for that determination.

To facilitate meaningful review and resolution, the response should identify, for each violation presented herein:

1. whether the State agrees or disagrees that the identified statutory duty applies;
2. whether the documented record-level conditions have been investigated;
3. whether corrective action has been undertaken;
4. whether responsive records exist and will be produced or made available for inspection;
5. whether additional information is requested from the identified aggrieved person(s) or U4F; and
6. the name, title, office, and contact information of the individual responsible for coordinating the State's response.

If the State concludes that any requested implementation record does not exist, the response should identify the official responsible for maintaining that category of record and state whether the record was never created, no longer exists, or cannot be located.

To the extent the State concludes that any requested corrective action is unnecessary, the response should identify the statutory, regulatory, administrative, or judicial authority upon which that determination is based.

X. GOOD-FAITH RESOLUTION

The purpose of this Notice is to provide the opportunity for voluntary compliance contemplated by Congress.

The identified aggrieved person(s) and U4F remain willing to confer with the State concerning the matters identified herein, provide additional information reasonably necessary to facilitate review, and discuss appropriate corrective measures consistent with the requirements of the National Voter Registration Act.

Nothing in this Notice should be interpreted as discouraging voluntary cooperation, clarification, or resolution during the statutory cure period.

U4F and the identified aggrieved person(s) respectfully request the State's timely attention to the matters identified in this Notice and appreciate its cooperation in achieving voluntary compliance with the National Voter Registration Act.

Respectfully submitted,



For Unite4Freedom

167 LAMP & LANTERN VILLAGE,
Suite 194
CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017
314-498-2569

harryhaury@protonmail.com



Harry R Haury

167 LAMP & LANTERN VILLAGE,
Suite 194

CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017

314-498-2569

harryhaury@protonmail.com

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Greg Stenstrom". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized "G" and "S".

Gregory Stenstrom, Pro Se

1541 Farmers Lane

Glen Mills, PA 19342

856-264-5495

gregorystenstrom@gmail.com

August 18, 2026

APPENDIX A

Unite4Freedom ELECTION VALIDITY SCORECARDS

I. PURPOSE

This Appendix provides the factual support for the voter-registration and voter-list maintenance categories identified in the Notice of Violations and Demand for Corrective Action.

The attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards were generated from official voter-registration and voter-history records obtained from the State or its election officials. The analyses identify documented record-level conditions requiring investigation, explanation, correction, preservation, or disclosure under the National Voter Registration Act ("NVRA").

This Appendix is incorporated into the Notice by reference.

II. SCOPE OF ANALYSIS

The U4F Election Validity Scorecards evaluate official statewide voter-registration records using objective analytical procedures designed to identify documented record-level conditions relevant to voter-list maintenance and recordkeeping.

The analyses do not determine election winners or losers.

The analyses do not determine voter eligibility.

The analyses do not determine whether any individual record independently establishes a violation of federal law.

Rather, the analyses identify documented conditions requiring explanation, investigation, correction, preservation, or disclosure under the statutory duties identified in the accompanying Notice.

III. RELATIONSHIP TO THE NOTICE

The Election Validity Scorecard supports the statutory violation records and categories identified in Section IV of the Notice.

Where applicable, the Scorecards identify documented conditions including:

- duplicate or conflicting voter-registration records;

- unexplained registration-date anomalies;
- internally inconsistent registration records;
- apparent irregular registration changes;
- voter-list maintenance conditions requiring supporting implementation records;
- apparent inactive-status anomalies;
- and other documented record-level conditions relevant to the implementation of voter-list maintenance programs.

Each record-level and category violation is supported by the corresponding record-level data contained within Electronic Exhibit 1 (USB Media).

IV. SCORECARD SUMMARY

State: Illinois

Election(s):

- 2024 General Election

The attached Illinois Election Validity Scorecard summarizes documented record-level conditions identified during U4F's analysis of official Illinois voter-registration and voter-history records.

Summary Tables

Illinois 2024 General Election

Voter Registration Record Conditions

Category	Number of Instances
Duplicate registrations	906,146
Voted before registered	3,065,495
Inactive registration that was never active	12,882
Questionable inactive status	49,246
Voted while inactive	25,414

Backdated registrations	54,543
Record shows modification prior to registration date	3,352
Invalid or illogical registration date	206,958
Age discrepant registrants	14,556
Registrants with questionable address	227,613

Total registration conditions identified: 4,566,205

Unique registrations identified: 3,875,145

Records Associated With Votes Cast in the 2024 General Election

Category	Number of Instances
Duplicate registrations	725,023
Voted before registered	317,697
Inactive registration that was never active	273
Questionable inactive status	28,352
Registered after 2024 GE yet voted	60,013
Voted while inactive	24,787
Backdated registrations	35,557
Modified date prior to registration date	2,036
Invalid or illogical registration date	142,846
Age discrepant registrants	6,071
Registrants with questionable address	126,304

Total voting conditions identified: 1,468,959

Unique votes identified: 1,045,659

Certification Comparison

Official Source	Reported Total
State Official Certified Total	5,705,246
Actual Vote Records in the Data	5,649,082

Difference (more votes counted than voters who voted): 56,164

Related Post-Certification Voter-History Change Analysis

The Illinois Vote Tampering Report separately compares successive official voter-history records after certification. The following figures are incorporated as related factual support; they identify documented changes requiring investigation and implementation records.

Election / Comparison	Documented Changes
2024 GE: 01/24/2025 compared to 05/13/2026	254,027
2022 GE: 01/25/2023 compared to 10/13/2023	88,733
2022 GE: 10/13/2023 compared to 10/15/2024	199,714
2022 GE total	288,447
2020 GE: 01/25/2023 compared to 10/13/2023	172,334
2020 GE: 10/13/2023 compared to 10/15/2024	300,247
2020 GE total	472,581

For the 2024 General Election comparison, the report identifies 109,976 Vote-to-No-Vote changes, 15,119 No-Vote-to-Vote changes, 111,268 voter/ballot deletions, and 17,664 voter/ballot additions, totaling 254,027 documented changes.

Summary Observations

The Illinois Election Validity Scorecard identifies documented record-level conditions within official Illinois voter-registration and voter-history records

requiring investigation, explanation, correction, preservation, or disclosure under the National Voter Registration Act.

The identified conditions include duplicate or conflicting voter-registration records, records indicating voting before registration, inactive-status conditions, registration-date anomalies, questionable address conditions, and voter-history conditions requiring implementation records and explanations.

The Scorecard identifies categories of records requiring review and supporting documentation. This Appendix identifies suspected record-level violations supporting the category summaries. It does not independently determine that every identified condition constitutes a violation of federal law. Rather, the identified conditions are presented to facilitate investigation, determination of applicable procedures, production of implementation records, and corrective action where appropriate.

V. INTERPRETATION OF THE SCORECARDS

The U4F Election Validity Scorecards organize documented record-level conditions into standardized analytical categories to facilitate review by election officials.

The individual suspected record violations are intended to assist the State in determining:

- whether the documented condition reflects lawful implementation of voter-list maintenance procedures;
- whether sufficient implementation records exist;
- whether additional records should be preserved or produced;
- whether correction is appropriate; and
- whether no corrective action is required.

Accordingly, the Scorecards are intended to facilitate investigation rather than predetermine investigative conclusions.

VI. LIMITATIONS

The Election Validity Scorecards identify documented conditions requiring further review.

They are not intended to establish that every identified condition independently constitutes a violation of federal law.

Many documented conditions may ultimately be explained through implementation records, preserved documentation, or other information maintained by the State.

Accordingly, the purpose of this Appendix is to identify those documented conditions requiring investigation and response under the National Voter Registration Act.

VII. INCORPORATED SCORECARDS

The following Illinois-specific reports and datasets are incorporated into this Appendix.

Illinois 2024 General Election Validity Scorecard

- Illinois 2024 General Election Validity Scorecard
- Illinois NVRA Scorecard Section 01.xlsx
- Illinois NVRA Scorecard Section 02.xlsx
- IL_Scorecard_2024_20260805.xlsx
- Illinois Vote Tampering Report (June 10, 2026)
- Illinois Vote Tampering machine-readable supporting dataset

APPENDIX B

Unite4Freedom VOTE TAMPERING REPORTS

DOCUMENTED POST-CERTIFICATION VOTER RECORD CHANGE ANALYSIS AND IDENTIFICATION OF CHANGES REQUIRING INVESTIGATION IN VOTER HISTORIES AND VOTE RECORDS

I. PURPOSE

This Appendix provides factual support for the documented voter-history and voter-registration record changes identified in the accompanying Notice of Violations and Demand for Corrective Action.

The attached U4F Vote Tampering Reports compare successive official statewide voter-history and voter-registration records obtained from the State or its election officials after certification of federal elections. Those comparisons identify documented record-level changes occurring within official State records following certification.

The purpose of this Appendix is not to determine whether any individual change was lawful or unlawful. Rather, it identifies documented changes requiring investigation and requests the implementation records, preservation records, and explanatory documentation that Congress required election officials to maintain concerning the implementation of voter-list maintenance programs and election administration.

This Appendix is incorporated into the Notice by reference.

II. DOCUMENTED POST-CERTIFICATION RECORD CHANGES

The attached analyses compare successive official statewide voter-file snapshots for the same certified federal election.

The analyses identify documented changes including, where applicable:

- voter-history records changing from **Vote** to **No Vote**;
- voter-history records changing from **No Vote** to **Vote**;
- vote-history records added following certification;
- vote-history records deleted following certification;

- modifications to voter-registration records;
- and other documented record-level changes appearing between successive official statewide voter-file releases.

These documented changes are derived directly from official State records.

They are reproducible using the same official datasets supplied by the State.

III. STATUTORY SIGNIFICANCE

The existence of documented post-certification record changes does not, standing alone, establish that any particular change violated federal law.

Federal law requires election officials to maintain implementation records sufficient to identify, explain, document, and reconstruct the activities producing the documented record changes identified in this Appendix. The absence of those records, or the inability to produce them, is itself information responsive to this Notice and should be expressly identified in the State's written response.

Accordingly, documented changes appearing within official election records require corresponding implementation records sufficient to identify:

- the nature of each change;
- the date and time the change occurred;
- the authority under which the change was made;
- the official, office, system, or process responsible for the change;
- the records documenting the change;
- and the statutory or administrative basis supporting the action.

Absent those implementation records, neither the State nor the public can independently determine whether the documented changes reflect lawful administrative corrections, implementation of established procedures, system operation, administrative error, or other causes.

IV. REQUIRED IMPLEMENTATION RECORDS

The documented record changes identified in the attached reports require corresponding implementation records sufficient to permit the State, the public, and any reviewing authority to determine:

- identity of records reviewed in reaching the State's conclusions;
- when the change occurred;
- why the change occurred;
- who authorized the change;
- who executed the change;
- what source records supported the change;
- what quality-control or verification procedures were performed;
- whether the change was manually or automatically generated;
- whether the change modified previously certified election records;
- whether prior values were preserved;
- whether audit records exist documenting the complete transaction history;
- database transaction logs;
- database change-history records;
- import transaction logs;
- export transaction logs;
- synchronization logs;
- replication logs;
- system event logs;
- archival snapshots;
- backup and recovery records sufficient to reconstruct affected transactions;
- exception reports generated during implementation;
- reconciliation reports;
- quality-control reports;

- automated workflow logs;
- identity of all databases, applications, services, and repositories containing implementation records;
- identity of all electronic systems reviewed in preparing the State's response; and
- whether the documented change complied with the State's written voter-list maintenance procedures and applicable federal law.

If any implementation activity was performed by an automated process, software application, third-party vendor, contractor, cloud-hosted service, or other electronic system, the State should identify that system, the records maintained concerning its implementation activities, and the records relied upon in preparing its response to this Notice.

The implementation records requested in this Appendix are consistent with the categories of records DOJ has publicly identified as necessary to document voter-list maintenance programs and federal election administration.

Accordingly, if the State concludes that any requested category does not exist, has not been maintained, or cannot be produced, U4F requests that the State identify:

- the specific record category unavailable;
- the reason the record does not exist;
- the legal authority supporting its nonexistence or destruction;
- and whether any alternative record documents the same implementation activity.

V. RELATIONSHIP TO DOJ GUIDANCE

The records requested in this Appendix are consistent with the categories of implementation and preservation records that the United States Department of Justice has identified as necessary to document the administration of federal elections and voter-list maintenance programs.

The requested records are also consistent with Congress's requirement that election officials maintain records concerning the implementation of voter-list maintenance activities and preserve records relating to acts requisite to voting.

Accordingly, this Appendix seeks the documentation necessary to explain the documented changes appearing within the State's own official records, rather than requesting speculation or unsupported conclusions concerning those changes.

VI. LIMITATIONS

The attached analyses identify documented changes appearing within official State records.

The analyses do not independently establish that any particular change violated federal law.

They identify record-level changes requiring corresponding implementation records and explanatory documentation sufficient to determine whether the documented changes were lawfully implemented, properly documented, and preserved in accordance with federal law.

ILLINOIS-SPECIFIC POST-CERTIFICATION CHANGE SUMMARY

The incorporated Illinois Vote Tampering Report compares successive official Illinois voter-history records and identifies the following documented post-certification changes.

2024 General Election: 254,027 total documented changes between the January 24, 2025 and May 13, 2026 voter-record snapshots, consisting of 109,976 Vote-to-No-Vote changes, 15,119 No-Vote-to-Vote changes, 111,268 voter/ballot deletions, and 17,664 voter/ballot additions.

2022 General Election: 288,447 total documented changes across the January 25, 2023 to October 13, 2023 and October 13, 2023 to October 15, 2024 comparisons.

2020 General Election: 472,581 total documented changes across the January 25, 2023 to October 13, 2023 and October 13, 2023 to October 15, 2024 comparisons.

These figures identify documented changes requiring investigation and corresponding implementation records; consistent with Sections III and VI above, they do not independently establish that any particular change violated federal law.

VII. INCORPORATED REPORTS

Illinois Vote Tampering Report

(Document Date: June 10, 2026)

Electronic Exhibit 1 (USB Media)

Illinois Vote Tampering machine-readable supporting dataset