

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS AND DEMAND FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION

PURSUANT TO 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)

**VIA CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
AND ELECTRONIC MAIL**

September 2, 2026

The Honorable Robert S. Howden
Secretary of State and Chief Election Officer
Office of the Texas Secretary of State
Elections Division
P.O. Box 12060
Austin, TX 78711-2060
elections@sos.texas.gov

CC:

The Honorable Ken Paxton
Attorney General of Texas
Office of the Attorney General of Texas
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, TX 78711-2548

Eric V. Neff, Acting Chief, Voting Section
Civil Rights Division
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
4CON, 8th Floor
Washington, DC 20530
voting.section@usdoj.gov

I. INTRODUCTION

This Notice is provided pursuant to Section 11(b) of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 ("NVRA"), 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b).

Unite 4 Freedom, Inc. ("U4F"), together with the identified aggrieved person(s), provides this Notice of Violations and Demand for Corrective Action concerning the State's continuing implementation of voter-registration list-maintenance programs, maintenance, and preservation of records concerning those programs, and public inspection obligations imposed by the National Voter Registration Act.

The attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards, Vote Tampering Reports, and supporting machine-readable data identify documented record-level conditions requiring investigation, explanation, correction, preservation, or disclosure under the NVRA. These materials are presented to identify suspected statutory violations requiring review and corrective action. They are not presented as proof that every individual record independently establishes an NVRA violation.

This Notice identifies the statutory duties at issue, the categories of alleged violations, the supporting factual bases, individual records that appear to be in violation of legal requirements, and the corrective actions requested. The summary report is provided as a guide to the violations, but each record must be resolved and explained. It is intended to provide the notice and opportunity to cure required by Congress before a civil action may be commenced under 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b).

II. NATURE OF THE NOTICE

Congress enacted the National Voter Registration Act to establish uniform national standards governing voter-registration administration, voter-list maintenance, and public inspection of records concerning those programs and activities.

Section 20510(b) authorizes an aggrieved person to provide written notice of violations to the chief State election official and affords the State an opportunity to investigate, correct, explain, or otherwise cure those violations before civil litigation is commenced.

This Notice concerns three categories of continuing statutory duties imposed by the NVRA:

1. The duty to conduct a reasonable and uniform voter-list maintenance program consistent with federal law;
2. The duty to maintain, preserve, and make available for public inspection those records Congress expressly identified in 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i); and

3. The duty to document, preserve, and explain the implementation of those list-maintenance programs sufficiently to permit meaningful public review and statutory compliance.

For administrative efficiency, the identified record-level conditions are summarized in this Notice and presented in detail within the attached appendices. Each documented record is documentation of a suspected violation and must be reviewed individually. These individual records also identify a suspected pattern of violation and form part of the factual basis for this Notice of Suspected Statutory Violations.

III. FACTUAL BASIS OF THIS NOTICE

U4F has analyzed official voter-registration, voter-history, and related election records obtained from the State and its election officials. Using those official records, U4F generated State-specific Election Validity Scorecards, Vote Tampering Reports, and supporting machine-readable datasets identifying documented conditions requiring further investigation, explanation, correction, preservation, or disclosure.

The attached analyses identify recurring categories of record-level conditions that include, where applicable:

- apparent changes to voter-history records during election administration and across successive official voter-file snapshots;
- apparent irregularities in voter-registration records;
- duplicate or conflicting registration records;
- unexplained registration-date anomalies;
- record changes requiring supporting implementation documentation;
- apparent inconsistencies in voter-list maintenance activities; and
- other documented conditions relevant to the statutory duties identified in this Notice.

The analyses identify documented record-level conditions requiring investigation under the National Voter Registration Act.

Whether any individual condition ultimately constitutes a statutory violation depends upon the implementation records and explanations required by federal law and requested in this Notice.

The attached appendices identify the documented record-level conditions and the corresponding categories of implementation records requested for each alleged statutory violation.

IV. ALLEGED STATUTORY VIOLATIONS

The record-level conditions identified in the attached appendices fall into three principal categories of continuing statutory violations under the National Voter Registration Act.

Each category corresponds to a separate statutory duty imposed by Congress. Although individual records may implicate more than one statutory provision, the categories below are presented separately to facilitate investigation, response, and corrective action.

The factual allegations supporting each category are contained in the attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards, Vote Tampering Reports, and supporting machine-readable datasets incorporated by reference into this Notice.

A. Failure to Conduct a Reasonable and Uniform List-Maintenance Program

52 U.S.C. § 20507(a)(4)

The attached analyses identify documented conditions requiring investigation concerning the State's continuing implementation of voter-list maintenance programs.

These conditions include, where applicable:

- duplicate or conflicting voter-registration records;
- unexplained changes in voter-registration status;
- registrations containing internally inconsistent or illogical data;
- apparent irregular registration changes;

- registration conditions requiring documented explanation under established list-maintenance procedures; and
- other record-level conditions identified within Appendix A.

This Notice does not presume that every identified condition independently establishes a violation of federal law. Rather, the documented conditions require the State to determine whether its list-maintenance program has been implemented in a reasonable, uniform, and nondiscriminatory manner consistent with the requirements imposed by Congress.

The corresponding record-level instances are identified within the attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards and supporting datasets.

B. Failure to Maintain and Make Available Records Concerning List-Maintenance Programs

52 U.S.C. § 20507(i)

The attached analyses further identify documented conditions requiring production, preservation, or explanation of records concerning the implementation of the State's voter-list maintenance programs.

Among other matters, the appendices identify documented record-level conditions for which implementation records, implementation documentation, or explanatory materials are necessary to determine:

- the basis for recorded changes;
- the authority under which those changes occurred;
- the implementation of voter-list maintenance procedures;
- the preservation of required records; and
- the completeness of the State's public-inspection obligations under the National Voter Registration Act.

To the extent those implementation records exist, this Notice requests their production or inspection as part of the corrective actions described above.

To the extent such records do not exist, this Notice requests written confirmation identifying the specific records unavailable and the reasons for their absence.

C. Failure to Preserve and Document Record-Level Changes Relevant to List-Maintenance Activities

The attached U4F analyses identify numerous documented changes to voter-history and voter-registration records occurring across successive official statewide voter-file snapshots, including real-time early-voting datasets.

These documented changes do not, standing alone, establish that any particular modification violated federal law. They do, however, identify record-level conditions requiring investigation, explanation, and implementation documentation sufficient to determine whether the State has maintained and preserved records concerning the implementation of its voter-list maintenance programs as required by the National Voter Registration Act.

The corresponding record-level instances are identified throughout the attached Vote Tampering Reports and supporting machine-readable datasets.

V. REQUESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION

Pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b), U4F and the identified aggrieved person(s) respectfully request that the State **investigate, document, explain, correct, preserve, or otherwise resolve the statutory matters identified in this Notice** and appendices.

Specifically, U4F requests that the State undertake the following corrective actions.

A. Investigation of Identified Record-Level Conditions

Investigate each category of documented record-level conditions identified in the attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards, Vote Tampering Reports, and supporting machine-readable datasets.

The investigation should determine, at a minimum:

- whether the identified condition reflects a lawful implementation of the State's voter-list maintenance program;
- the statutory or administrative authority relied upon;
- the records supporting that determination; and
- whether corrective action is required.

B. Production and Inspection of Implementation Records

Produce, or make available for inspection, all records concerning the implementation of the State's voter-list maintenance programs that are required to be maintained or made available under 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i).

To the extent records exist, they should include, where applicable:

- identification of the records reviewed in reaching the State's conclusions;
- implementation records supporting voter-list maintenance activities;
- records documenting additions, changes, removals, or corrections to voter-registration records;
- records identifying the authority for those actions;
- records identifying the responsible officials or offices;
- confirmation-notice records required by federal law;
- written procedures governing implementation of voter-list maintenance programs; and
- any other records necessary to explain the documented conditions identified in the attached appendices.

Electronic production in the format maintained by the State is preferred whenever reasonably available.

C. Written Explanation of Documented Record-Level Conditions

Provide a written explanation describing the procedures, policies, and implementation practices responsible for the documented record-level conditions identified in the attached appendices.

Where a documented condition reflects a lawful administrative process, identify:

- the applicable procedure;
- the authority under which it occurred;
- the records documenting that action; and

- the basis upon which the State concludes the action complied with the National Voter Registration Act.

Where a documented condition reflects an error, omission, or deficiency, identify:

- the corrective action taken;
- the date correction occurred;
- the records documenting the correction; and
- any prospective measures implemented to prevent recurrence.

D. Preservation of Relevant Records

Preserve all records, electronic data, audit logs, implementation records, correspondence, database transaction logs, database change-history tables, import/export transaction logs, system event logs, and implementation documentation relevant to the matters identified in this Notice until the issues presented herein have been resolved.

Such preservation should include all records reasonably necessary to reconstruct, explain, or verify the implementation of the voter-list maintenance activities identified in the attached appendices.

This preservation request includes active records, archived records, backup media, disaster-recovery copies, cloud-hosted records, replicated databases, audit repositories, and any other electronic storage containing implementation records relevant to the matters identified in this Notice.

E. Written Disposition

Provide a written response addressing each record-level violation identified and the category patterns identified in this Notice.

For each category, indicate whether the State:

- agrees that corrective action is required;
- disputes the asserted statutory duty;
- disputes the factual basis;
- has undertaken corrective action;

- requires additional information; or
- contends that no violation exists.

Where corrective action is denied, identify the statutory, regulatory, or legal authority upon which the State relies.

The violation categories described above are supported by the record-level documentation contained in the incorporated appendices.

VI. STATUTORY CURE PERIOD

This Notice is provided pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b). Because the violations alleged in this Notice are continuing and are occurring within one hundred twenty (120) days before the November 3, 2026 federal general election, the twenty-day cure period prescribed by 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(2) applies. U4F and the identified aggrieved persons therefore request that the State investigate, document, explain, correct, preserve, produce, or otherwise resolve the matters identified herein within twenty (20) days after receipt of this Notice.

If the State contends that any portion of this Notice is not subject to the **twenty (20) day cure period** provided by 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b), the State should identify the specific factual and legal basis for that position in its written response.

The applicable statutory cure period shall be determined in accordance with 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b), including the provisions governing notices provided within one hundred twenty (120) days before a federal election and the provisions applicable to notices provided within thirty (30) days before such an election.

Nothing contained in this Notice shall be construed as shortening or enlarging any period established by Congress.

VII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Nothing contained in this Notice should be construed as:

- an allegation that any identified individual voter is ineligible to vote;
- an allegation that any particular ballot was unlawfully counted or rejected;
- an allegation that any identified record independently establishes an NVRA violation;
- a request that any voter be removed from the registration rolls;

- a challenge to any completed election result; or
- a waiver of any right, remedy, claim, or cause of action available under federal or state law.

This Notice concerns only the continuing statutory duties imposed by the National Voter Registration Act and the State's opportunity to investigate, explain, correct, preserve, or otherwise resolve the matters identified herein before litigation is commenced.

Nothing contained in this Notice limits the right of U4F or any aggrieved person to pursue any additional administrative, judicial, or other lawful remedy arising from the matters identified herein.

VIII. INCORPORATION OF APPENDICES

Each documented record identified within the appendices is a suspected violation and forms part of the factual basis supporting one or more of the statutory violation categories identified in this Notice.

The appendices accompanying this Notice consist of:

- **Appendix A** — U4F Election Validity Scorecard (Texas 2024 General Election)
- **Appendix B** — U4F Vote Tampering Reports (Texas)
- **Electronic Exhibit 1** (USB Media) — Machine-Readable Supporting Data

The USB media accompanying this Notice contains the current machine-readable supporting datasets and the source PDFs listed in Appendices A and B, including the Texas NVRA Scorecard Section 01 and Section 02 datasets, the Texas Election Validity Scorecard dataset, and the Texas Vote Tampering Report supporting datasets.

IX. REQUEST FOR WRITTEN RESPONSE

The identified aggrieved person(s) and U4F respectfully request a written response addressing the matters identified in this Notice.

The written or machine-readable response should identify, for each requested record and implementation record category, whether the record is being produced, made available for inspection, withheld, unavailable, never created, destroyed pursuant to an established retention schedule, or otherwise unavailable. For every category not

produced, the response should identify the statutory, regulatory, administrative, or other legal authority supporting that determination and the official responsible for that determination.

To facilitate meaningful review and resolution, the response should identify, for each violation presented herein:

1. whether the State agrees or disagrees that the identified statutory duty applies;
2. whether the documented record-level conditions have been investigated;
3. whether corrective action has been undertaken;
4. whether responsive records exist and will be produced or made available for inspection;
5. whether additional information is requested from the identified aggrieved person(s) or U4F; and
6. the name, title, office, and contact information of the individual responsible for coordinating the State's response.

If the State concludes that any requested implementation record does not exist, the response should identify the official responsible for maintaining that category of record and state whether the record was never created, no longer exists, or cannot be located.

To the extent the State concludes that any requested corrective action is unnecessary, the response should identify the statutory, regulatory, administrative, or judicial authority upon which that determination is based.

X. GOOD-FAITH RESOLUTION

The purpose of this Notice is to provide the opportunity for voluntary compliance contemplated by Congress.

The identified aggrieved person(s) and U4F remain willing to confer with the State concerning the matters identified herein, provide additional information reasonably necessary to facilitate review, and discuss appropriate corrective measures consistent with the requirements of the National Voter Registration Act.

Nothing in this Notice should be interpreted as discouraging voluntary cooperation, clarification, or resolution during the statutory cure period.

U4F and the identified aggrieved person(s) respectfully request the State's timely attention to the matters identified in this Notice and appreciate its cooperation in achieving voluntary compliance with the National Voter Registration Act.

Respectfully submitted,



For Unite4Freedom

167 LAMP & LANTERN VILLAGE,

Suite 194

CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017

314-498-2569

harryhaury@protonmail.com



Harry R Haury

167 LAMP & LANTERN VILLAGE,

Suite 194

CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017

314-498-2569

harryhaury@protonmail.com



Gregory Stenstrom

1541 Farmers Lane

Glen Mills, PA 19342

856-264-5495

gregorystenstrom@gmail.com

/s/ Debra K. Boehm, Pro Se

Registered Texas voter and aggrieved person providing this Notice

c/o Unite4Freedom, Inc.

167 Lamp & Lantern Village, Suite 194

Chesterfield, MO 63017

314-498-2569

debbie.boehm@proton.me

Dated: September 2, 2026

APPENDIX A

Unite4Freedom ELECTION VALIDITY SCORECARDS

I. PURPOSE

This Appendix provides the factual support for the voter-registration and voter-list maintenance categories identified in the Notice of Violations and Demand for Corrective Action.

The attached U4F Election Validity Scorecards were generated from official voter-registration and voter-history records obtained from the State or its election officials. The analyses identify documented record-level conditions requiring investigation, explanation, correction, preservation, or disclosure under the National Voter Registration Act ("NVRA").

This Appendix is incorporated into the Notice by reference.

II. SCOPE OF ANALYSIS

The U4F Election Validity Scorecards evaluate official statewide voter-registration records using objective analytical procedures designed to identify documented record-level conditions relevant to voter-list maintenance and recordkeeping.

The analyses do not determine election winners or losers.

The analyses do not determine voter eligibility.

The analyses do not determine whether any individual record independently establishes a violation of federal law.

Rather, the analyses identify documented conditions requiring explanation, investigation, correction, preservation, or disclosure under the statutory duties identified in the accompanying Notice.

III. RELATIONSHIP TO THE NOTICE

The Election Validity Scorecard supports the statutory violation records and categories identified in Section IV of the Notice.

Where applicable, the Scorecards identify documented conditions including:

4. duplicate or conflicting voter-registration records;

5. unexplained registration-date anomalies;
6. internally inconsistent registration records;
7. apparent irregular registration changes;
8. voter-list maintenance conditions requiring supporting implementation records;
9. apparent inactive-status anomalies;
10. and other documented record-level conditions relevant to the implementation of voter-list maintenance programs.

Each record-level and category violation is supported by the corresponding record-level data contained within Electronic Exhibit 1 (USB Media).

IV. SCORECARD SUMMARY

State: Texas

Election: 2024 General Election

The attached Texas Election Validity Scorecard summarizes documented record-level conditions identified during U4F's analysis of official Texas voter-registration and voter-history records.

Summary Tables

Texas 2024 General Election

Voter Registration Record Conditions

Category	Number of Instances
Exact duplicates by full name and birthdate	250,565
Duplicates with suspect first and last name swaps	430
Duplicates with suspect first or middle name swap with initials	11,905
Suspect name changes	103,937

Residential address provides a non-concise description of the location	6,413
Residential address at a commercial mail handler or post office	10,091
Invalid apartment addresses without apartment number	322,130
Other address related issues	26,589
Age discrepant registrants	7,474
Names embedded with numerals and symbols	456
Modifications to voter information WITHOUT an effective date of registration change	2,198,276
Voters moved from cancelled to NOT cancelled	69,629

Total registration conditions identified: 3,007,895

Unique registrations identified: 2,802,281

Records Associated With Votes Cast in the 2024 General Election

Category	Number of Instances
Duplicate registrations that voted	76,126
Suspect name changes that voted	75,133
Invalid addresses that voted	118,799
Age discrepant registrants that voted	606
Lack of effective date of registration change that voted	1,492,866
Registrations moved from cancelled to NOT cancelled that voted	29,341

Total voting conditions identified: 1,792,871

Unique votes identified: 1,672,893

Certification Comparison

Official Source	Reported Total
Official state-certified result (votes counted)	11,388,674
Official state voter roll vote count (voters who voted)	11,010,461
Divergent official county voter roll vote count as of January 12, 2025	11,319,614

Difference (more votes counted than voters who voted, state-certified result vs. state voter roll): 378,213

Scorecard measure	Reported value
Total voter-registration conditions	3,007,895
Unique registrations identified	2,802,281
Registration-condition rate	13.7%
Total vote-associated conditions	1,792,871
Unique votes identified / ballots counted in error	1,672,893
Vote-associated condition rate	14.7%
Allowable ballot-count variance	92
Excess ballots above allowable variance	1,672,801
Certified-result difference	378,213
Total election errors (1,672,893 + 378,213)	2,051,106
Total election-error rate	18.0%

Related Voter-History Change Analysis

The Texas Vote Tampering Reports separately analyze successive official Texas early-voting datasets. These reports identify documented record changes during the 2024 General Election and the 2026 Democratic and Republican primary elections requiring investigation and implementation records.

Measure	2024 General	2026 Democratic	2026 Republican
Final dataset voter records	8,807,554	1,405,576	1,265,564
Voter oscillations	709,233	37,039	87,336
Injected/additional ballots	564,788	35,169	35,772
Incremental voter-history changes	1,295,746	80,903	137,693
Voter-history deletions	166,116	10,558	66,146
Multiple ballots—first appearance	173	9	1,400
Multiple ballots—final dataset	36	54	1,549

Summary Observations

The Texas Election Validity Scorecard identifies documented record-level conditions within official Texas voter-registration and voter-history records requiring investigation, explanation, correction, preservation, or disclosure under the National Voter Registration Act.

The identified conditions include duplicate or conflicting voter-registration records, suspect name changes, address conditions, age-discrepant registrations, voter-information modifications lacking an effective date of registration change, cancelled-status changes, and voter-history conditions requiring implementation records and explanations.

The Scorecard identifies categories of records requiring review and supporting documentation. This Appendix identifies suspected record-level violations supporting the category summaries. It does not independently determine that every identified condition constitutes a violation of federal law. Rather, the identified conditions are presented to facilitate investigation, determination of applicable procedures, production of implementation records, and corrective action where appropriate.

V. INTERPRETATION OF THE SCORECARDS

The U4F Election Validity Scorecards organize documented record-level conditions into standardized analytical categories to facilitate review by election officials.

The individual suspected record violations are intended to assist the State in determining:

- whether the documented condition reflects lawful implementation of voter-list maintenance procedures;
- whether sufficient implementation records exist;
- whether additional records should be preserved or produced;
- whether correction is appropriate; and
- whether no corrective action is required.

Accordingly, the Scorecards are intended to facilitate investigation rather than predetermine investigative conclusions.

VI. LIMITATIONS

The Election Validity Scorecards identify documented conditions requiring further review.

They are not intended to establish that every identified condition independently constitutes a violation of federal law.

Many documented conditions may ultimately be explained through implementation records, preserved documentation, or other information maintained by the State.

Accordingly, the purpose of this Appendix is to identify those documented conditions requiring investigation and response under the National Voter Registration Act.

VII. INCORPORATED REPORTS AND DATASETS

The following Texas-specific reports and datasets are incorporated into this Appendix.

Texas 2024 General Election Validity Scorecard

- Unite4Freedom_TX_Scorecard_2024_2026-08-07.pdf (Appendix A source report)
- Texas NVRA Scorecard Section 01.xlsx
- Texas NVRA Scorecard Section 02.xlsx
- Texas Election Validity Scorecard machine-readable dataset
- Texas Early Voting 2024 GE Real-Time High Resolution Vote Tampering Report
- Texas 2026 Democratic Primary Real-Time High Resolution Vote Tampering Report
- Texas 2026 Republican Primary Real-Time High Resolution Vote Tampering Report
- Texas Vote Tampering machine-readable supporting datasets

APPENDIX A — SOURCE REPORT

Texas 2024 General Election Validity Scorecard (August 7, 2026)



"Congress seeks. . . to guard the election of members of Congress against any possible unfairness by compelling, under its pains and penalties, everyone concerned in holding the election to a strict and scrupulous observance of every duty devolved upon him while so engaged. . . . The evil intent consists in disobedience to the law." —In re Coy, 127 U.S. 731 (1888)

Texas 2024 General Election Validity Scorecard

★

1. Were the voter rolls accurate, as required by the National Voter Registration Act of 1993?

Registrations with material errors and omissions as per the Civil Rights Acts of 1964	Number of Instances*	13.7% REGISTRATION ERROR RATE
Exact duplicates by full name and birthdate	250,565	
Duplicates with suspect first and last name swaps	430	
Duplicates with suspect first or middle name swap with initials (i.e. A Henry Moss becomes Andrew Henry Moss)	11,905	
Suspect name changes	103,937	
Residential address provides a non-concise description of the location	6,413	
Residential address at a commercial mail handler or post office	10,091	
Invalid apartment addresses without apartment number	322,130	
Other address related issues	26,589	
Age discrepant registrants	7,474	
Names embedded with numerals and symbols	456	
Modifications to voter information WITHOUT an effective date of registration change **	2,198,276	
Voters moved from cancelled to NOT cancelled	69,629	
TOTAL REGISTRATION VIOLATIONS PROHIBITED BY LAW:	3,007,895	
UNIQUE REGISTRATIONS PROHIBITED BY LAW:	2,802,281	

★

2. Were the votes counted from eligible voters, as required by the US Constitution?

Registrations with material errors and omissions whose votes were counted	Number of Instances*	14.7% VOTE ERROR RATE
Duplicate registrations that voted (from lines 1-3 above)	76,126	
Suspect name changes that voted	75,133	
Invalid addresses that voted (lines 5-8 above)	118,799	
Age discrepant registrants that voted	606	
Lack of effective date of registration change that voted	1,492,866	
Registrations that moved from cancelled to NOT cancelled that voted	29,341	
TOTAL VOTING VIOLATIONS PROHIBITED BY LAW:	1,792,871	
UNIQUE VOTES PROHIBITED BY LAW:	1,672,893	

★

3. Was the number of votes counted equal to the number of voters who voted?

Official Source	Reported Total by Official Source	378,213
Official state-certified result from state election results webpage (Votes counted)***	11,388,674	
Official state voter roll vote count (Voters who voted)	11,010,461	
DIFFERENCE (MORE votes counted than voters who voted):		
Other official counts of the same election		
Divergent official general election county voter roll vote as of January 12, 2025: ****		
Early Votes Cast: 9,051,839 + Election Day Votes Cast: 2,267,775 =	11,319,614	

★

4. Was the number of ballots in error valid according to the Help America Vote Act of 2002?

Total ballots counted in error in the 2024 GE	1,672,893	1,672,801
Allowable machine error rate is 1/10,000,000 ballot positions or 1/125,000 ballots	92	
Total excess ballots counted in error: Provable accuracy fails to meet any protective legal standard		

★

TOTAL ELECTION ERRORS (Sections 2+3)

2,051,106

18.0%
TOTAL
ELECTION
ERROR
RATE

* Voter registration data is from an official copy of the registration database from December 2024 and was sourced directly from the Texas Secretary of State (SOS).

** Modifications to voter information WITH an effective date of registration change = 803,533.

*** County vs. State Voter Rolls: The "state voter roll" and "county voter rolls" are both official lists of voters who voted in the November 2024 General Election. Both were sourced directly from the SOS. The state roll comes from the official state database, while the county roll is originally uploaded by each county and then reported on the SOS website. The county voter lists are aggregated from each county and the result is a secondary view of who voted in this election.

**** Section 3 item 3 was pulled from the data from January 12, 2025.

Unite4Freedom VOTE TAMPERING REPORTS

DOCUMENTED VOTER RECORD CHANGE ANALYSIS AND IDENTIFICATION OF CHANGES REQUIRING INVESTIGATION IN VOTER HISTORIES AND VOTE RECORDS

I. PURPOSE

This Appendix provides factual support for the documented voter-history and voter-registration record changes identified in the accompanying Notice of Violations and Demand for Corrective Action.

The attached U4F Vote Tampering Reports compare successive official statewide voter-history and voter-registration records obtained from the State or its election officials during the administration of federal elections. Those comparisons identify documented record-level changes occurring within official State records during election administration and across successive official datasets.

The purpose of this Appendix is not to determine whether any individual change was lawful or unlawful. Rather, it identifies documented changes requiring investigation and requests the implementation records, preservation records, and explanatory documentation that Congress required election officials to maintain concerning the implementation of voter-list maintenance programs and election administration.

This Appendix is incorporated into the Notice by reference.

II. DOCUMENTED VOTER-HISTORY AND VOTER-RECORD CHANGES

The attached analyses compare successive official Texas voter-history and early-voting dataset snapshots for the same federal election.

The analyses identify documented changes including, where applicable:

11. voter-history records changing from Vote to No Vote;
12. voter-history records changing from No Vote to Vote;
13. vote-history records added during election administration and across successive official datasets;
14. vote-history records deleted during election administration and across successive official datasets;

15. modifications to voter-registration records;

16. and other documented record-level changes appearing between successive official statewide voter-file releases.

These documented changes are derived directly from official State records.

They are reproducible using the same official datasets supplied by the State.

III. STATUTORY SIGNIFICANCE

The existence of documented voter-record changes across successive official datasets does not, standing alone, establish that any particular change violated federal law.

Federal law requires election officials to maintain implementation records sufficient to identify, explain, document, and reconstruct the activities producing the documented record changes identified in this Appendix. The absence of those records, or the inability to produce them, is itself information responsive to this Notice and should be expressly identified in the State's written response.

Accordingly, documented changes appearing within official election records require corresponding implementation records sufficient to identify:

- the nature of each change;
- the date and time the change occurred;
- the authority under which the change was made;
- the official, office, system, or process responsible for the change;
- the records documenting the change;
- and the statutory or administrative basis supporting the action.

Absent those implementation records, neither the State nor the public can independently determine whether the documented changes reflect lawful administrative corrections, implementation of established procedures, system operation, administrative error, or other causes.

IV. REQUIRED IMPLEMENTATION RECORDS

The documented record changes identified in the attached reports require corresponding implementation records sufficient to permit the State, the public, and any reviewing authority to determine:

- identity of records reviewed in reaching the State's conclusions;
- when the change occurred;
- why the change occurred;
- who authorized the change;
- who executed the change;
- what source records supported the change;
- what quality-control or verification procedures were performed;
- whether the change was manually or automatically generated;
- whether the change modified election records reflected in prior official datasets;
- whether prior values were preserved;
- whether audit records exist documenting the complete transaction history;
- database transaction logs;
- database change-history records;
- import transaction logs;
- export transaction logs;
- synchronization logs;
- replication logs;
- system event logs;
- archival snapshots;
- backup and recovery records sufficient to reconstruct affected transactions;
- exception reports generated during implementation;
- reconciliation reports;
- quality-control reports;

- automated workflow logs;
- identity of all databases, applications, services, and repositories containing implementation records;
- identity of all electronic systems reviewed in preparing the State's response; and
- whether the documented change complied with the State's written voter-list maintenance procedures and applicable federal law.

If any implementation activity was performed by an automated process, software application, third-party vendor, contractor, cloud-hosted service, or other electronic system, the State should identify that system, the records maintained concerning its implementation activities, and the records relied upon in preparing its response to this Notice.

The implementation records requested in this Appendix are consistent with the categories of records DOJ has publicly identified as necessary to document voter-list maintenance programs and federal election administration.

Accordingly, if the State concludes that any requested category does not exist, has not been maintained, or cannot be produced, U4F requests that the State identify:

- the specific record category unavailable;
- the reason the record does not exist;
- the legal authority supporting its nonexistence or destruction;
- and whether any alternative record documents the same implementation activity.

V. RELATIONSHIP TO DOJ GUIDANCE

The records requested in this Appendix are consistent with the categories of implementation and preservation records that the United States Department of Justice has identified as necessary to document the administration of federal elections and voter-list maintenance programs.

The requested records are also consistent with Congress's requirement that election officials maintain records concerning the implementation of voter-list maintenance activities and preserve records relating to acts requisite to voting.

Accordingly, this Appendix seeks the documentation necessary to explain the documented changes appearing within the State's own official records, rather than requesting speculation or unsupported conclusions concerning those changes.

VI. LIMITATIONS

The attached analyses identify documented changes appearing within official State records.

The analyses do not independently establish that any particular change violated federal law.

They identify record-level changes requiring corresponding implementation records and explanatory documentation sufficient to determine whether the documented changes were lawfully implemented, properly documented, and preserved in accordance with federal law.

TEXAS-SPECIFIC VOTER-HISTORY CHANGE SUMMARY

The incorporated Texas Vote Tampering Reports compare successive official Texas early-voting datasets and identify the following documented changes during election administration.

2024 General Election: 709,233 voter oscillations; 564,788 additional ballots assigned to voter IDs that had already cast a ballot; 1,295,746 incremental voter-history changes; 166,116 voter-history deletions; 173 voters with multiple ballots the first time they appeared in early-voting records; and 36 voters with multiple ballots at the end of early voting.

2026 Democratic Primary: 37,039 voter oscillations (26,481 associated voter IDs had a ballot in the final dataset); 35,169 additional ballots assigned to voter IDs that had already cast a ballot; 80,903 incremental voter-history changes; 10,558 voter-history deletions; 9 voters with multiple ballots at first appearance; and 54 voters with multiple ballots in the final dataset.

2026 Republican Primary: 87,336 voter oscillations (21,190 associated voter IDs had a ballot in the final dataset); 35,772 additional ballots assigned to voter IDs that

had already cast a ballot; 137,693 incremental voter-history changes; 66,146 voter-history deletions; 1,400 voters with multiple ballots at first appearance; and 1,549 voters with multiple ballots in the final dataset.

These figures identify documented changes requiring investigation and corresponding implementation records; consistent with Sections III and VI above, this Appendix does not independently determine that every identified change constitutes a violation of federal law.

VII. INCORPORATED REPORTS

Texas Early Voting 2024 General Election Real-Time High Resolution Vote Tampering Report (August 7, 2026)

Texas 2026 Democratic Primary Real-Time High Resolution Vote Tampering Report (August 7, 2026)

Texas 2026 Republican Primary Real-Time High Resolution Vote Tampering Report (August 7, 2026)

Electronic Exhibit 1 (USB Media)

Texas Vote Tampering machine-readable supporting datasets

APPENDIX B — SOURCE REPORT B-1
Texas 2024 General Election Vote Tampering Report (August 7, 2026)

Texas Early Voting 2024 GE
Real-Time High Resolution
Vote Tampering Report



Voter Participation History Changes During the Election

This analysis examines early voting (EV) data obtained real-time directly from the Texas Secretary of State's public election dataset website. The state's published files are expected to reflect the official list of all voters who had cast ballots during the early voting period for the 2024 general election.

Two data snapshots were included for each day of the early voting period—one in the morning and one in the evening—up to and including November 2, 2024, the final day of early voting. The final dataset (Evening Snapshot—November 2, 2024) contained 8,807,554 records.

The purpose of this analysis is to identify and quantify non-standard patterns of record changes that are inconsistent with legitimate data maintenance or expected ballot processing behavior.

Summary of Suspected Manipulation

The counts in the analysis are based on records that match ALL of the following record details including: Voter ID, Voter Name, Voting Method, County, and Precinct.

Voter OSCILLATION	709,233
Voter ID appears, disappears, and reappears, sometimes multiple times in the data.	
INJECTED Ballots	564,788
Additional ballots injected for voter IDs who had already cast a ballot. <i>*By the end of early voting, most of these ballots were "hidden", so that only 36 voters appeared to have voted more than once.</i>	
Voter History CHANGES	1,295,746
Total number of incremental changes (additions or deletions) to number of ballots assigned to a voter ID over time.	
Voter History DELETIONS	166,116
Voter IDs with previously cast ballot (vote record) completely missing at the end of early voting in the evening dataset from November 2.	
Voter with MULTIPLE Ballots the first time they appear in Early Voting records (from 2 to 5)	173
Voter with MULTIPLE Ballots at End of Early Voting	36

ASSESSMENT

These problems happen too often and follow patterns that don't occur by chance or simple mistakes. The way the data changes over time suggests intentional or automated manipulation, not normal reporting errors. Because these issues are repeated and significant, they should be formally investigated and reviewed under state and federal election laws.

APPENDIX B — SOURCE REPORT B-2
Texas 2026 Democratic Primary Vote Tampering Report (August 7, 2026)

Real-Time High Resolution Vote Tampering Report



Texas Early Voting

2026 DEMOCRAT PRIMARY ELECTION

Voter Participation History Changes During the Election

This analysis examines early voting data obtained real-time directly from the Texas Secretary of State's public election dataset website. The state's published files are expected to reflect the official list of all voters who cast ballots during the early voting period for the 2026 Democrat primary election.

Snapshots were downloaded at regular intervals every day from the beginning of early voting. This analysis includes multiple snapshots per day; it is for votes cast up to and including February, 27, 2026, the final day of early voting, and includes snapshots taken up to and including election day, March 3, 2026. The final dataset in this analysis contained 1,405,576 records.

The purpose of this analysis is to identify and quantify non-standard patterns of record changes that are inconsistent with legitimate data maintenance or expected ballot processing behavior.

Summary of Suspected Manipulation

The counts in the analysis are based on records that match ALL of the following record details including: Voter ID, Voter Name, and Voting Method. Analysis does not include County and Precinct details because they were not consistently available in the data downloaded from the Secretary of State's website.

Voter OSCILLATION	
Voter ID appears, disappears, and reappears, sometimes multiple times in the data. 26,481 of these Voter IDs have a ballot at the END of Early Voting.	37,039
INJECTED Ballots	
Additional ballots injected for voter IDs who had already cast a ballot.	35,169
Voter History CHANGES	
Total number of incremental changes (additions or deletions) to number of ballots assigned to a voter ID over time.	80,903
Voter History DELETIONS	
Voter IDs with previously cast ballot (vote record) completely missing at the end of early voting in the evening dataset from February 27.	10,558
Voters with MULTIPLE ballots the FIRST TIME THEY APPEAR in Early Voting records	9
Voters with MULTIPLE ballots at the END of Early Voting	54

ASSESSMENT: These problems happen too often and follow patterns that don't occur by chance or simple mistakes. The way the data changes over time suggests intentional or automated manipulation, not normal reporting errors. Because these issues are repeated and significant, they should be formally investigated and reviewed under state and federal election laws.

APPENDIX B — SOURCE REPORT B-3
Texas 2026 Republican Primary Vote Tampering Report (August 7, 2026)

Real-Time High Resolution Vote Tampering Report



Texas Early Voting

2026 REPUBLICAN PRIMARY ELECTION

Voter Participation History Changes During the Election

This analysis examines early voting data obtained real-time directly from the Texas Secretary of State's public election dataset website. The state's published files are expected to reflect the official list of all voters who cast ballots during the early voting period for the 2026 Republican primary election.

Snapshots were downloaded at regular intervals every day from the beginning of early voting. This analysis includes multiple snapshots per day; it is for votes cast up to and including February 27, 2026, the final day of early voting, and includes snapshots taken up to and including election day, March 3, 2026. The final dataset in this analysis contained 1,265,564 records.

The purpose of this analysis is to identify and quantify non-standard patterns of record changes that are inconsistent with legitimate data maintenance or expected ballot processing behavior.

Summary of Suspected Manipulation

The counts in the analysis are based on records that match ALL of the following record details including: Voter ID, Voter Name, and Voting Method. Analysis does not include County and Precinct details because they were not consistently available in the data downloaded from the Secretary of State's website.

Voter OSCILLATION	
Voter ID appears, disappears, and reappears, sometimes multiple times in the data. ONLY 21,190 of these Voter IDs have a ballot at the END of Early Voting.	87,336
INJECTED Ballots	
Additional ballots injected for voter IDs who had already cast a ballot.	35,772
Voter History CHANGES	
Total number of incremental changes (additions or deletions) to number of ballots assigned to a voter ID over time.	137,693
Voter History DELETIONS	
Voter IDs with previously cast ballot (vote record) completely missing at the end of early voting in the evening dataset from February 27.	66,146
Voters with MULTIPLE ballots <i>the FIRST TIME THEY APPEAR in Early Voting records</i>	1,400
Voters with MULTIPLE ballots <i>at the END of Early Voting</i>	1,549

ASSESSMENT: These problems happen too often and follow patterns that don't occur by chance or simple mistakes. The way the data changes over time suggests intentional or automated manipulation, not normal reporting errors. Because these issues are repeated and significant, they should be formally investigated and reviewed under state and federal election laws.